Publicly disclosed Title IV matters

Complaints, handling concerns and outcomes

Anglican Watch

Expanded edition · November 15, 2026

78	215	Shared IDs
indexed records	linked case sources	across both volumes

Scope

Clergy complaints, disciplinary proceedings and allegations about bishops' handling of complaints. Includes historical processes, dismissals, reversals and negotiated outcomes. Sources include official records, public reporting and attributed accounts.

The Episcopal Church in the United States. Comprehensive in subject matter; developing and nonexhaustive in coverage. The entries are not a census, a prevalence estimate or a background-check certification.

READ BEFORE USING THIS INDEX

Inclusion does not establish a canonical offense. A complaint or allegation about a bishop's handling is not a formal charge or finding; a separate case against the bishop may not be established. Title IV concerns clergy. Read allegations together with outcomes, responses and limitations.

Companion volume: Episcopal misconduct: Publicly reported allegations and outcomes.

How to read this index

The complaint and the response

The purpose is to put what people report beside what the institution is publicly known to have done. Complaints can describe serious harm without producing a criminal charge, civil judgment or church sanction. Lack of action is not proof of guilt or innocence.

What qualifies for inclusion

A public report, a disclosed disciplinary matter or an attributable account supplied for this edition can support an entry. Corroborating documents, criminal charges and an official finding are not prerequisites. A source establishes that an allegation was made; it does not automatically establish that the alleged conduct occurred. Editorial-only accounts are identified and are not presented as independently corroborated public records.

Scope and organization

The scope is the 50 United States and the District of Columbia, with churchwide proceedings and historical context. This edition has records associated primarily with 33 states, the District of Columbia and churchwide leadership; it is not an exhaustive search of every jurisdiction. Other denominations are outside scope except as context for Episcopal conduct.

Entries are grouped by the principal state or churchwide setting, then a public-record date that is not necessarily the earliest disclosure. Records may group complaints; separate records may concern one person. Shared AW identifiers link the volumes. Their totals overlap and are not counts of independent cases.

Historical coverage

Searches also use adultery, affair, immorality, presentment, church court or tribunal, inhibition, deposition and defrocking. A source need not say “Title IV.” Older reports may call abuse an affair; entries preserve reported ages and authority relationships. Predecessor proceedings are labeled, and today’s rules are not applied retrospectively.

Coverage across roles and conduct

Broader records include sexual and nonsexual misconduct, lay leadership and institutional responses. The Title IV volume also covers allegations that bishops ignored, mishandled or improperly resolved clergy complaints. A separate formal complaint against the bishop is not required; the entry states what process is established. Contested doctrinal proceedings retain their own descriptions. Sexual orientation is not misconduct. An adult affair is not automatically sexual assault. A board’s inclusion does not establish wrongdoing by every member.

Disclosure and responses

Relevant entries disclose *Anglican Watch*’s participation and Eric Bonetti’s interests as editor, complainant or litigant. Denials and favorable outcomes accompany adverse accounts. “No response located” does not mean the subject refused to respond. This edition does not imply fresh outreach to every subject.

Process, status and public-record limits

Title IV and access to information

Current Title IV applies to clergy. Its sexual-misconduct categories extend beyond crimes. **IV.6.13** addresses intake/referral confidentiality; **IV.13.3** and **IV.15.12** require publication of hearing and appeal filings, with protections. **IV.19.16** provides a presumption of noncommission and a clear-and-convincing hearing standard. Earlier proceedings may use different rules. Administrative restriction is not a final finding. Mixed entries identify clergy respondents. [1]

Episcopal News Service reports that most cases are resolved before a hearing, where much less information is ordinarily public. The national bishop-case page is selective; historical archives are selective as well. These sources cannot supply the denominator needed to measure the percentage of complaints that produce action. A missing public outcome is recorded as unknown—not converted into “no action,” “still pending” or “exonerated.” [2–4]

Status labels

Alleged / reported	An attributed claim; not a finding.
Handling allegation	Criticism of episcopal or diocesan handling; a separate bishop case may not be established.
Admitted / found	The particular admission or decision, its scope and any reversal.
Inquiry / restriction	A procedural or protective step; not proof of the allegation.
Dismissed / reversed	The outcome and known reason are retained.
Accord / pastoral response	Public terms are reported. Admission or a merits finding is not assumed.
Outcome unavailable	Later disposition was not established. The last dated status is not certified as current.

Source and date discipline

Sources were reviewed for this edition through November 15, 2026. Each entry gives the date of its latest known event or report, which may be much older. Confidential terms, missing instruments and disputed descriptions remain explicit. The source notes distinguish a complaint from an adjudication. The companion criminal-case catalog is cross-referenced where a matching record was identified. These indexes do not decide criminal liability or provide a government offender registry.

Sources

1. Constitution and Canons: current Title IV text. The Episcopal Church · 2024 edition, effective 2025. Primary authority for clergy jurisdiction, process, confidentiality, disclosure and hearing standards.

2. Title IV transparency and the public bishop-case page. Episcopal News Service · 2024-02-22. Explains the selective public page and the confidentiality of matters commonly resolved before a hearing.

3. Title IV and reporting misconduct. The Episcopal Church · Reviewed 2026-11-15. National reporting information and selected publicly disclosed bishop matters; not a census of all clergy complaints.

4. Ecclesiastical-discipline subject guide. Archives of the Episcopal Church · Reviewed 2026-11-15. Selective historical records, including older disciplinary frameworks.

Find records by subject

Topics are navigation aids, not findings. Categories overlap, and an entry may include allegations that were denied, dismissed or reversed. The outcome and limitations govern how the record should be read.

Bishops' handling of Title IV and complaints

AW-001 Tommie Lee Watkins Jr. • AW-002 Richard Losch • AW-129 Glenda Curry / Holly Hollerith • AW-130 Susan Brown Snook • AW-060 Paul Robinson; William C. Frey and the Diocese of Colorado • AW-062 Mark H. Hansen • AW-090 John Rumple / Paula Clark • AW-071 M. Thomas Shaw, Barbara C. Harris and related diocesan defendants • AW-088 William J. Danaher Jr. and the response at Christ Church Cranbrook • AW-092 New Jersey diocesan and vestry leadership • AW-080 David G. Bollinger • AW-114 Ginger Strickland / Solaqua • AW-032 Carl Turner, Matthew Moretz and related parish/diocesan response • AW-089 Rebecca Roberts / St. Peter's • AW-037 Donald J. Davis • AW-038 Daniel Gutiérrez • AW-039 Daniel Gutiérrez • AW-042 George Sumner • AW-098 Amy Moehnke • AW-085 Steven Tsosie Plummer • AW-111 Laurence K. (Larry) Packard • AW-097 Stephen McWhorter • AW-044 Robert H. (Bob) Malm • AW-045 Bob Malm; reported diocesan and vestry handling • AW-047 Robert K. Marshall • AW-128 Mark Stevenson / Adams complaints • AW-057 Michael Curry and Todd Ousley

Sexual abuse, harassment and exploitation

AW-001 Tommie Lee Watkins Jr. • AW-002 Richard Losch • AW-006 Roger Haenke • AW-060 Paul Robinson; William C. Frey and the Diocese of Colorado • AW-011 Tony Clark • AW-070 James R. Hiles • AW-074 Charles I. (Ci) Jones III • AW-081 J. Edward (James Edward) Putnam • AW-031 Mark Schultz, William Davis and Erich Erving • AW-035 Ed Konieczny • AW-083 W. Graham Pulkingham • AW-037 Donald J. Davis • AW-084 Antoine Lamont Campbell • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-098 Amy Moehnke • AW-085 Steven Tsosie Plummer • AW-086 Byron Bruce Newell • AW-097 Stephen McWhorter • AW-047 Robert K. Marshall • AW-052 Jonathan Adams

Adult relationships and pastoral boundaries

AW-005 Marc Andrus • AW-060 Paul Robinson; William C. Frey and the Diocese of Colorado • AW-103 Mark S. Anderson • AW-070 James R. Hiles • AW-019 Whayne Hougland Jr. • AW-074 Charles I. (Ci) Jones III • AW-114 Ginger Strickland / Solaqua • AW-034 Daniel W. McClain • AW-083 W. Graham Pulkingham • AW-084 Antoine Lamont Campbell • AW-041 Brad Whitaker • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-098 Amy Moehnke • AW-086 Byron Bruce Newell • AW-048 Anne Turner • AW-051 Santiago Rodriguez

Bullying, spiritual abuse and retaliation

AW-130 Susan Brown Snook • AW-007 Amjad Samuel • AW-103 Mark S. Anderson • AW-013 Robert Wright • AW-090 John Rumple / Paula Clark • AW-016 Santosh K. Marray • AW-071 M. Thomas Shaw, Barbara C. Harris and related diocesan defendants • AW-018 Alan Gates • AW-088 William J. Danaher Jr. and the response at Christ Church Cranbrook • AW-076 George G. Swanson • AW-092 New Jersey diocesan and vestry leadership • AW-080 David G. Bollinger • AW-026 Prince Singh • AW-028 Prince Singh • AW-032 Carl Turner, Matthew Moretz and related parish/diocesan response • AW-089 Rebecca Roberts / St. Peter's • AW-034 Daniel W. McClain • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-100 David J. A. Halt • AW-042 George Sumner • AW-098 Amy Moehnke • AW-112 Leslie Nuñez Steffensen • AW-044 Robert H. (Bob) Malm • AW-045 Bob Malm; reported diocesan and vestry handling • AW-052 Jonathan Adams • AW-055 Martha Ann Englert

Shunning, ostracism and exclusion complaints

AW-090 John Rumple / Paula Clark • AW-088 William J. Danaher Jr. and the response at Christ Church Cranbrook • AW-089 Rebecca Roberts / St. Peter's • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-110 David Halt • AW-052 Jonathan Adams

Find records by subject — continued

Honesty, representations and alleged perjury

AW-004 J. Jon Bruno • AW-059 Matthew Dutton-Gillett (Matthew Gillett in the 2025 notice) • AW-061 Donald Armstrong • AW-103 Mark S. Anderson • AW-066 Christopher B. Platt • AW-015 Heather Cook • AW-016 Santosh K. Marray • AW-071 M. Thomas Shaw, Barbara C. Harris and related diocesan defendants • AW-076 George G. Swanson • AW-092 New Jersey diocesan and vestry leadership • AW-026 Prince Singh • AW-029 DeDe Duncan-Probe • AW-033 Carl Turner • AW-041 Brad Whitaker • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-100 David J. A. Halt • AW-110 David Halt • AW-112 Leslie Nuñez Steffensen • AW-044 Robert H. (Bob) Malm • AW-048 Anne Turner

Finance, property and governance

AW-004 J. Jon Bruno • AW-059 Matthew Dutton-Gillett (Matthew Gillett in the 2025 notice) • AW-061 Donald Armstrong • AW-010 John Howard • AW-103 Mark S. Anderson • AW-066 Christopher B. Platt • AW-071 M. Thomas Shaw, Barbara C. Harris and related diocesan defendants • AW-076 George G. Swanson • AW-080 David G. Bollinger • AW-043 Edward R. Monk

Safeguarding and complaint handling

AW-002 Richard Losch • AW-129 Glenda Curry / Holly Hollerith • AW-130 Susan Brown Snook • AW-060 Paul Robinson; William C. Frey and the Diocese of Colorado • AW-103 Mark S. Anderson • AW-090 John Rumple / Paula Clark • AW-088 William J. Danaher Jr. and the response at Christ Church Cranbrook • AW-074 Charles I. (Ci) Jones III • AW-080 David G. Bollinger • AW-081 J. Edward (James Edward) Putnam • AW-114 Ginger Strickland / Solaqua • AW-032 Carl Turner, Matthew Moretz and related parish/diocesan response • AW-089 Rebecca Roberts / St. Peter's • AW-036 Charles E. Bennison Jr. • AW-038 Daniel Gutiérrez • AW-039 Daniel Gutiérrez • AW-084 Antoine Lamont Campbell • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-100 David J. A. Halt • AW-042 George Sumner • AW-098 Amy Moehnke • AW-085 Steven Tsosie Plummer • AW-086 Byron Bruce Newell • AW-097 Stephen McWhorter • AW-112 Leslie Nuñez Steffensen • AW-045 Bob Malm; reported diocesan and vestry handling • AW-052 Jonathan Adams • AW-128 Mark Stevenson / Adams complaints • AW-057 Michael Curry and Todd Ousley

Discrimination and workplace conduct

AW-009 John Howard • AW-013 Robert Wright • AW-016 Santosh K. Marray • AW-092 New Jersey diocesan and vestry leadership • AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson • AW-100 David J. A. Halt • AW-110 David Halt • AW-097 Stephen McWhorter

Anti-LGBTQ conduct and exclusion complaints

AW-009 John Howard • AW-016 Santosh K. Marray • AW-110 David Halt

Canonical compliance and doctrinal disputes

AW-058 Edward H. MacBurney • AW-062 Mark H. Hansen • AW-008 Amjad Samuel • AW-068 Samuel Lee Edwards • AW-070 James R. Hiles • AW-021 Walter C. Righter • AW-024 William H. Love • AW-030 DeDe Duncan-Probe • AW-046 B. Cayce Ramey • AW-049 B. Cayce Ramey

Other conduct or limited public detail

AW-015 Heather Cook • AW-027 Tom Ferguson • AW-111 Laurence K. (Larry) Packard • AW-056 Paul-Gordon Chandler

Lay leadership and institutional response

AW-129 · AW-130 · AW-060 · AW-090 · AW-071 · AW-088 · AW-076 · AW-092 · AW-080 · AW-114 · AW-031 · AW-032 · AW-089 · AW-084 · AW-098 · AW-085 · AW-086 · AW-111 · AW-045 · AW-128

These records include lay subjects, committee or board conduct, or institutional handling. They do not assign responsibility to every member.

Index by state and matter

Select a title or page number to jump to the record. The PDF bookmarks provide the same navigation. IDs are shared with the companion volume.

Alabama 9

AW-001 Tommie Lee Watkins Jr.: sexual-boundary allegations and partially reversed findings . . . 9

AW-002 Richard Losch: child-abuse allegations and reported disciplinary complaints . . . 10

AW-129 Glenda Curry and Holly Hollerith: Losch complaint handling . . . 11

California 12

AW-058 Edward H. MacBurney: unauthorized confirmations and agreed admonition . . . 12

AW-004 J. Jon Bruno: misconduct findings in the St. James property dispute . . . 13

AW-059 Matthew Dutton-Gillett: misuse of parish funds, accord and 2025 deposition . . . 14

AW-130 Susan Brown Snook: harassment-complaint handling and reported appeal . . . 15

AW-005 Marc Andrus: adult relationship complaint, accord and restoration . . . 16

AW-006 Roger Haenke: sexual-misconduct allegation and reported deposition . . . 17

Colorado 18

AW-060 Paul Robinson and Colorado church leadership: pastoral exploitation and civil liability . . . 18

AW-061 Donald Armstrong: financial misconduct finding and deposition . . . 19

Connecticut 20

AW-062 Mark H. Hansen: disputed abandonment process and deposition . . . 20

AW-007 Amjad Samuel: alleged intimidation and retaliation . . . 21

AW-008 Amjad Samuel: separate abandonment proceeding . . . 22

Florida 23

AW-009 John Howard: discrimination allegations resolved without discipline . . . 23

AW-010 John Howard: financial allegations resolved without discipline . . . 24

AW-011 Tony Clark: Texas deposition and later Florida investigative findings . . . 25

AW-103 Mark S. Anderson: relationship and financial allegations . . . 26

Georgia 27

AW-013 Robert Wright: workplace complaint dismissed . . . 27

Illinois 28

AW-090 John Rumple and Paula Clark: exclusion and complaint handling . . . 28

Kentucky 29

AW-066 Christopher B. Platt: financial presentment and church tribunal finding . . . 29

Maryland 30

AW-068 Samuel Lee Edwards: unlicensed-ministry presentment; trial cancelled after renunciation . . . 30

AW-015 Heather Cook: deposition following misconduct and misrepresentation allegations . . . 31
AW-016 Santosh Marray: bullying allegations and concluded complaints . . . 32

Massachusetts **33**
AW-070 James Hiles: adultery findings, church appeal and deposition . . . 33
AW-071 Massachusetts diocesan leadership: Hiles's retaliation and assault allegations . . . 34
AW-018 Alan Gates: removal of a priest's collar during worship . . . 35

Michigan **36**
AW-019 Whayne Hougland Jr.: admitted affair, suspension and return to the House of Bishops . . . 36
AW-088 William Danaher: bullying, exclusion and a concluded Title IV process . . . 37

Montana **38**
AW-074 Charles I. Jones III: sexual misconduct finding, reduced sanction and restoration . . . 38

New Jersey **39**
AW-076 George G. Swanson: false-statement conviction reversed and charges dismissed . . . 39
AW-021 Walter Righter: heresy charges dismissed . . . 40
AW-092 New Jersey: Gideon Uzomechina's allegations against diocesan and vestry leadership . . . 41

New York **42**
AW-080 David G. Bollinger: financial allegations dismissed . . . 42
AW-081 J. Edward Putnam: admitted abuse of minors and 20-year suspension . . . 43
AW-114 Ginger Strickland / Solaqua: adult relationship complaint . . . 44
AW-024 William Love: prohibition of authorized marriage rites . . . 45
AW-026 Prince Singh: family abuse and misrepresentation allegations . . . 46
AW-027 Tom Ferguson: impaired-driving allegation and public Title IV investigation . . . 47
AW-028 Prince Singh: Rochester authority and complaint-handling allegations . . . 48
AW-029 DeDe Duncan-Probe: academic-credentials complaint . . . 49
AW-030 DeDe Duncan-Probe: discernment complaint dismissed . . . 50
AW-031 Mark Schultz, William Davis and Erich Erving: adult-assault allegations . . . 51
AW-032 Saint Thomas Fifth Avenue: alleged retaliation and complaint handling . . . 52
AW-089 St. Peter's, Cazenovia: alleged spiritual abuse and exclusion . . . 53
AW-033 Carl Turner: complaint over disputed settlement statements . . . 54

Ohio **55**
AW-034 Daniel McClain: adultery finding and partially reversed misconduct findings . . . 55

Oklahoma **56**
AW-035 Ed Konieczny: sexual-harassment complaint concluded without discipline . . . 56

Pennsylvania **57**
AW-083 Graham Pulkingham: admitted pastoral misconduct and suspension . . . 57
AW-036 Charles E. Bennison Jr.: response to abuse; disciplinary judgment reversed . . . 58

AW-037 Donald Davis: abuse disclosures and historical complaint handling . . . 59
AW-038 Daniel Gutiérrez: handling of a priest's misconduct case . . . 60
AW-039 Daniel Gutiérrez: complaint about discipline and pastoral support dismissed . . . 61

South Carolina 62
AW-084 Antoine Lamont Campbell: adultery acquittal and later withdrawn charges . . . 62

Tennessee 63
AW-041 Brad Whitaker: repeated complaints and 2025 deposition . . . 63

Texas 64
AW-099 Douglas Evan Anderson: allegations and 2024 accord . . . 64
AW-100 David Halt: reported retaliation against Richard Daly . . . 65
AW-042 George Sumner: retaliation and complaint-handling allegations . . . 66
AW-043 Edward Monk: financial allegations and appellate remand . . . 67
AW-110 David Halt: complaint alleges attempted exclusion of gay couple . . . 68
AW-098 Amy Moehnke: harassment and retaliation complaints . . . 69

Utah 70
AW-085 Steven Plummer: minor-abuse disclosure, leave and return . . . 70

Virginia 71
AW-086 Byron Bruce Newell: sexual misconduct complaints and ecclesiastical deposition . . . 71
AW-111 Laurence Packard: contested Title IV proceedings at Good Shepherd, Burke . . . 72
AW-097 Stephen McWhorter: reported harassment complaints . . . 73
AW-112 Leslie Steffensen: alleged fabrication and written apology . . . 74
AW-044 Bob Malm: Bonetti complaints of nonsexual abuse and dishonesty . . . 75
AW-045 Bob Malm: Fanny Belanger's separate complaint . . . 76
AW-046 B. Cayce Ramey: Eucharistic fast and reversed disciplinary order . . . 77
AW-047 Robert K. Marshall: disputed misconduct finding and civil litigation . . . 78
AW-048 Anne Turner: reported adultery, suspension and return . . . 79
AW-049 B. Cayce Ramey: noncooperation and administrative-leave proceeding . . . 80
AW-051 Santiago Rodriguez: reported nonsexual boundary violation . . . 81
AW-052 Jonathan Adams: grooming and boundary allegations . . . 82
AW-128 Mark Stevenson: Adams complainants' pastoral-response allegations . . . 83

Wisconsin 84
AW-055 Martha Ann Englert: abusive-remarks finding; disclosure count rejected . . . 84

Wyoming 85
AW-056 Paul-Gordon Chandler: deposition following a misconduct allegation . . . 85

Churchwide 86
AW-057 Michael Curry and Todd Ousley: response to Singh family complaints . . . 86

ALABAMA / AW-001

Tommie Lee Watkins Jr.: sexual-boundary allegations and partially reversed findings

Subject: Tommie Lee Watkins Jr. · **Role:** Priest

Setting: Diocesan disciplinary proceeding. **Diocese:** Diocese of Alabama

Category: Sexual misconduct and pastoral boundaries; administrative-leave compliance

Conduct / complaint period: 2017–2021 alleged conduct; investigation initiated in 2020

Connection to Title IV: Official Title IV pleadings and appellate decision. The Court of Review held the bishop's earlier deposition sentence ineffective while the appeal was pending; this is a procedural ruling, not a separate misconduct judgment against the bishop.

Latest known outcome	Appellate affirmance in part and reversal in part; subsequent effective sentencing action not established here.

Status date: 2024-05-16

What was reported

Watkins faced multiple Title IV allegations involving sexual conduct and pastoral relationships, plus alleged violations of administrative leave. A hearing panel found five of six alleged offenses. On appeal in May 2024, the Court of Review affirmed four findings and reversed one. It also held that an earlier pronouncement of deposition had no canonical effect because an appeal was pending. The opinion records that a related criminal complaint had been dismissed with prejudice.

Response

Watkins disputed the allegations and pastoral-relationship characterization, alleged bias and procedural defects, and sought a new hearing.

Publicly reported chronology

2021-06-24	Additional published statement of alleged offenses.
2023-02-28	Hearing panel issued order after finding five of six alleged offenses.
2023-04-10	Bishop pronounced deposition; appeal filed.
2024-05-16	Court affirmed four findings, reversed one, and held the earlier sentence premature and ineffective.

Public-record limits

The criminal complaint was dismissed and portions of the church judgment reversed. The April 2023 sentence was held ineffective; a later effective final deposition was not established.

Sources

1. Court of Review opinion: Watkins v. Diocese of Alabama. Court of Review of the Episcopal Church · 2024-05-16. Primary appellate disposition, criminal-dismissal context, and ruling on premature sentence.

2. Statement of alleged offenses. Diocese of Alabama disciplinary process · 2021-06-24. Primary statement of an additional sexual-boundary allegation.

3. Respondent's motion to vacate and rehear. Tommie Lee Watkins Jr.; published in diocesan case materials · 2023. Sets out Watkins's denials and procedural objections.

ALABAMA / AW-002

Richard Losch: child-abuse allegations and reported disciplinary complaints

Subject: Richard Losch · **Role:** Priest; former teacher and Scout leader

Setting: St. James, Livingston; earlier St. Michael's, Marblehead. **Diocese:** Alabama; earlier service in Massachusetts

Category: Alleged child sexual abuse / complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged assault in 1976

Source basis: Local news reporting; *Anglican Watch* complaint reporting

Connection to Title IV: Reported clergy complaints and diocesan restriction, with publicly attributed criticism of the episcopal response. The full disciplinary record and final outcome were not located; handling allegations are not findings against the bishops.

Latest known outcome	Criminal trial ended in a hung-jury mistrial on April 10, 2025. No later criminal disposition or public final Title IV order was located.

Status date: 2025-04-10

What was reported

A former pupil and parish attendee, identified publicly by the pseudonym Jack, accused Losch of raping him at a New Hampshire Scout camp. *Anglican Watch* also reported grooming allegations and complaints about the Diocese of Alabama's handling of a Title IV complaint.

Response

Losch has denied wrongdoing. A diocesan statement quoted in 2024 news coverage said his ministry had been fully restricted in August 2023.

Public-record limits

The mistrial was neither a conviction nor an acquittal. The disciplinary complaint's handling is reported, rather than reconstructed from a complete diocesan file.

Related records: AW-129.

Companion criminal catalog NH-08. Criminal and church outcomes are separate.

Sources

1. Trial outcome. Marblehead Current · 2025-04-10. Reports the mistrial, testimony and Losch's denial.

2. Disciplinary complaint reporting. *Anglican Watch* · 2026. Reports complaints about diocesan handling and calls for clergy discipline.

3. Diocesan restriction and denial. Speakin' Out News / AL.com · 2024-04-09. Reproduces reporting containing the diocese's restriction statement and Losch's denial.

ALABAMA / AW-129

Glenda Curry and Holly Hollerith: Losch complaint handling

Subject: Glenda Curry and Herman (Holly) Hollerith · **Role:** Curry: bishop of Alabama at the relevant time; Hollerith: retired bishop of Southern Virginia, described by AW as acting for the presiding bishop

Setting: Diocese of Alabama; presiding bishop's complaint-handling structure. **Diocese:** Alabama; churchwide delegated response

Category: Alleged failure to process complaints; inconsistent information; dismissive response

Conduct / complaint period: Reported handling concerns, 2021–2026; Hollerith email published February 2024

Source basis: AW reporting and advocacy, including a reproduced email; diocesan statement quoted in news coverage

Connection to Title IV: Public allegations about handling of Losch-related Title IV complaints. AW described complaints involving senior bishops; a complete bishop-specific disciplinary file or final order was not obtained, and a complaint against Hollerith himself was not established.

Latest known outcome	Published handling allegations remain without a located final bishop disciplinary order. The underlying Losch matter is indexed separately.

Status date: 2026-07-31

What was reported

AW alleged that Alabama officials under Curry obstructed the Losch complaint and gave inconsistent accounts of its status, including dismissal without notice versus a continuing investigation. It separately accused Hollerith of dismissing the complainant's concerns in a misdirected email while acting for the presiding bishop. The allegations concern their different handling roles, not sexual conduct by either bishop. AW later reported that Hollerith initially professed no knowledge of the email and stepped away from the complaint-handling role. Alabama publicly said Losch's ministry was fully restricted in August 2023.

Response

Alabama's published restriction statement establishes an action taken against Losch. AW reported Hollerith's initial disclaimer of knowledge; no direct substantive bishop response to the specific handling allegations was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-08	Diocese later stated that Curry fully restricted Losch's ministry.
2024-02-25	AW published Hollerith's reported email and called for recusal and an apology.
2024-04-08	AW reported his initial denial of knowledge and departure from the complaint-handling role.
2026-07-31	AW reiterated its criticism of Curry's handling; no final bishop disciplinary disposition identified.

Public-record limits

Restriction of Losch is distinct from adjudication of the bishops' conduct. Hollerith's exact delegated role and reported departure are based on AW's account, not an appointment or resignation instrument.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* advocated for the Losch complainant, contacted church officials, and publicly called for Hollerith's recusal and resignation. Its advocacy and reporting overlap.

Related records: AW-002.

Sources

1. Open letter concerning the Diocese of Alabama's handling of Richard Losch allegations. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-04-29. Alleges inconsistent complaint-status information and inadequate processing.

2. Reported Hollerith email concerning the Losch complainant. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-02-25. Reproduces the email and describes AW's calls for recusal and apology.

3. Proposed changes to Episcopal clergy discipline are fundamentally flawed, miss the point. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-04-08. Reports Hollerith's initial response and departure from a complaint-handling role.

4. Alabama priest trial report and diocesan statement. Greg Garrison, AL.com; reproduced by Speakin' Out News · 2024-04-09. Quotes Alabama's statement that Losch's ministry was fully restricted in August 2023.

5. AW follow-up on Glenda Curry's tenure. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-07-31. Later restatement of the Losch handling allegations; unrelated character assessments are not adopted.

CALIFORNIA / AW-058

Edward H. MacBurney: unauthorized confirmations and agreed admonition

Subject: Edward H. MacBurney · **Role:** Retired bishop of Quincy

Setting: Holy Trinity Parish, San Diego diocese. **Diocese:** Quincy; conduct in San Diego

Category: Unauthorized episcopal ministry; jurisdiction and discipline

Conduct / complaint period: June 2007

Connection to Title IV: Public church announcements identify the complaint, inhibition and agreed sentence.

Latest known outcome	The disciplinary matter ended with the September 2008 agreed sentence and removal of inhibition. His later 2009 removal from Episcopal ministry was a separate Title III renunciation, expressly described by the church as not a deposition.

Status date: 2009-06-12

What was reported

San Diego Bishop Jim Mathes sought discipline after MacBurney performed confirmations at Holy Trinity without Mathes's permission. MacBurney acted at the invitation of Southern Cone Archbishop Gregory Venables after the congregation claimed affiliation with that province. A Title IV presentment followed in January 2008. MacBurney subsequently submitted voluntarily to discipline, and the presiding bishop issued an admonition and directed a written apology. The same September 2008 order removed his inhibition. This is a jurisdictional disciplinary matter; the record does not allege sexual abuse.

Response

MacBurney accepted a written waiver and voluntary submission to discipline. The resulting sentence required him to respect the diocesan bishop's authority and not repeat the conduct.

Publicly reported chronology

2007-06	MacBurney conducted confirmations in the Diocese of San Diego without the diocesan bishop's permission.
2008-01-24	The Title IV Review Committee issued a presentment.
2008-09-09	The presiding bishop imposed an agreed admonition, directed a written apology and removed the inhibition.
2009-06-12	The presiding bishop announced acceptance of MacBurney's separate renunciation of Episcopal Church ministry after his decision to affiliate elsewhere.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 Title IV framework. The inhibition was lifted. The separate renunciation is not an additional misconduct conviction.

Sources

1. Sentence Upon Voluntary Submission to Discipline. Presiding Bishop / The Archives of the Episcopal Church · 2008-09-09. Operative sentence: admonition, written apology and removal of inhibition.

2. Bishop's inhibition lifted. The Church of England Newspaper / George Conger · 2008-09-26. Contemporary reporting on the unauthorized visit, negotiated resolution and bishop's response.

3. Presiding Bishop accepts renunciations of Bane and MacBurney. Episcopal News Service · 2009-06-15. Clarifies that the later renunciation was not deposition and did not affect moral character.

CALIFORNIA / AW-004

J. Jon Bruno: misconduct findings in the St. James property dispute

Subject: J. Jon Bruno · **Role:** Bishop of Los Angeles during the events

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Los Angeles; St. James the Great, Newport Beach. **Diocese:** Los Angeles

Category: Misrepresentation; abuse of authority; property governance

Conduct / complaint period: 2015–2017

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Three-year suspension completed and lifted in 2020; respondent died in 2021.

Status date: 2021-04-25

What was reported

A hearing panel found that Bruno pursued a church-property sale without the necessary standing committee consent, made misrepresentations, and engaged in conduct unbecoming clergy toward the displaced congregation. A three-year suspension followed; the disciplinary board later reported that it was affirmed retroactive to August 2017. The diocese states that the suspension was lifted in 2020. Bruno died in April 2021. These are disciplinary findings, not merely unresolved allegations.

Response

Contested the case through the disciplinary proceedings; the final order sets out his positions and the panel's rulings.

Publicly reported chronology

2017-08-02	Final hearing-panel order issued.
2018-12-26	Order affirming three-year suspension recorded in the board's later report.
2020	Suspension lifted, according to the diocese.
2021-04-23	Bruno died.

Public-record limits

The property dispute is indexed for the specific disciplinary findings; disagreement with a property decision alone is not described as misconduct.

Sources

1. Final order in the J. Jon Bruno matter. Hearing Panel of the Disciplinary Board for Bishops; public copy · 2017-08-02. The hearing panel's findings concerning authority, misrepresentations, and conduct toward a congregation.

2. Hearing panel's final Bruno decision. Episcopal News Service · 2017-08-02. Summarizes the final findings, recommended sentence, and diocesan response.

3. Disciplinary Board for Bishops report to General Convention. The Episcopal Church · 2022. Reports the December 26, 2018 order affirming a three-year suspension retroactive to August 2017; printed page 260.

4. Diocesan account of Bruno's death and completed suspension. Episcopal Diocese of Los Angeles · 2021-04-25. Confirms that suspension was lifted in 2020 and that Bruno died April 23, 2021.

CALIFORNIA / AW-059

Matthew Dutton-Gillett: misuse of parish funds, accord and 2025 deposition

Subject: Matthew Dutton-Gillett (Matthew Gillett in the 2025 notice) · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Trinity Episcopal Church, Menlo Park. **Diocese:** California

Category: Financial misconduct; misuse of parish funds; disciplinary accord

Conduct / complaint period: 2011–2020

Connection to Title IV: Official 2025 notice identifies the 2020 accord and final sentence under Canon **IV.14.12**(a).

Latest known outcome	Deposed from Episcopal ordained ministry effective April 10, 2025. The diocese's notice states that the disposition resolves the Title IV charges.

Status date: 2025-04-10

What was reported

Trinity leaders disclosed that Dutton-Gillett admitted using parish money for personal spending. A diocesan forensic investigation found more than $200,000 misused between 2011 and 2020, according to Bishop Marc Andrus's public statement. He was removed as rector and entered a September 2020 disciplinary accord providing four years of restricted ministry and restitution. In April 2025, Bishop Austin Rios issued a deposition notice under the name Matthew Gillett, identifying the same 2020 accord and imposing the previously suspended sentence. The parish also reported the matter to police.

Response

Dutton-Gillett accepted the disciplinary accord. He publicly expressed regret for the pain to the parish and his family. Contemporary reporting said he had repaid approximately $52,000 by September 2020.

Publicly reported chronology

2020-04	Diocesan and parish leaders announced the misuse and administrative leave; the parish notified police.
2020-09-04	Removal as rector and disciplinary accord. The 2025 notice describes a sentence of deposition suspended for four years during restricted ministry.
2020-10-03	Andrus publicly confirmed the forensic findings, restrictions and restitution obligation.
2025-04-10	Rios imposed deposition, effective this date; the notice was issued April 11.

Public-record limits

The later official notice uses Matthew Gillett; the contemporaneous reports use Matthew Dutton-Gillett. This entry distinguishes the original four-year arrangement from the eventual deposition. No criminal charging or disposition record was located, and a police referral is not a criminal conviction.

Companion criminal catalog CA-11. Criminal and church outcomes are separate.

Sources

1. A message to clergy and lay leaders, from Bishop Marc. Diocese of California · 2020-10-03. Primary statement confirms the forensic findings, removal as rector and Title IV accord.

2. Former rector at Trinity Church misused more than $200,000 in parish funds, church announces. The Almanac · 2020-09-28. Local reporting on the admission, investigation, partial repayment and police referral.

3. California priest removed from ministry after probe finds he spent church's $200,000 on himself. Episcopal News Service · 2020-10-05. Reports the accord and Dutton-Gillett's public response.

4. Notice of Sentence of Deposition for the Rev. Matthew Gillett. Diocese of California · 2025-04-11. Operative notice imposes deposition effective April 10, 2025, following the 2020 accord.

CALIFORNIA / AW-130

Susan Brown Snook: harassment-complaint handling and reported appeal

Subject: Susan Brown Snook · **Role:** Bishop diocesan

Setting: An unidentified parish in the Diocese of San Diego. **Diocese:** San Diego

Category: Alleged retaliation; complaint handling; inadequate pastoral response

Conduct / complaint period: Events preceding the August 2024 complaint reporting

Source basis: AW reporting based on parish leaders' complaint and redacted appeal

Connection to Title IV: AW reported receipt of a redacted Title IV complaint against Snook, its dismissal and a subsequently filed appeal.

Latest known outcome	Dismissed at intake; appeal reported filed in October 2024. Its disposition is unverified, so the index does not label it currently pending.

Status date: 2024-10-20

What was reported

Parish leaders reportedly complained that Snook mishandled allegations of sexual harassment by a lay vestry member. They said diocesan officials told the parish to handle the matter internally, then sided against the rector when conflict followed. AW reported allegations of retaliation, inadequate mediation and pastoral response, and suspension of the rector after retaining counsel. The bishop complaint was dismissed at intake. In October 2024, AW reported that an appeal had been filed; a later outcome was not located.

Response

AW reported the diocese's position that the rector had become adversarial and that the bishop complaint was retaliatory. No direct substantive response was retrieved.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-08-26	AW reported the complaint against Snook.
2024-08	AW reported intake dismissal.
2024-10-20	AW reported an appeal had been filed.

Public-record limits

The parish and individuals remain unidentified. The reported sexual harassment is attributed to a lay person, not Snook; the bishop allegations concern handling and retaliation.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* disclosed a longstanding positive relationship with Snook predating her episcopate. It supported the complainants' position while reporting limited information.

Sources

1. Title IV complaint against Susan Brown Snook in a sexual-harassment case. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-08-26. Complaint allegations, redaction policy, nonresponse at publication and AW's relationship disclosure.

2. Update: Susan Brown Snook complaint dismissed. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-08. Reports dismissal; at that stage an appeal was anticipated.

3. Susan Brown Snook case goes on appeal. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-10-20. Later report confirms an appeal was filed and describes the diocese's positions.

CALIFORNIA / AW-005

Marc Andrus: adult relationship complaint, accord and restoration

Subject: Marc Andrus · **Role:** Former diocesan bishop

Setting: Diocese of California. **Diocese:** Diocese of California

Category: Adult relationship and communications; public details limited

Conduct / complaint period: A series of years before the October 2024 complaint; precise dates not disclosed

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	The October 29, 2026 diocesan newsletter announced restoration to good standing after Rowe determined that accord requirements had been met.

Status date: 2026-10-29

What was reported

Church officials announced a complaint concerning an inappropriate relationship with an adult, later describing communications extending over several years. Presiding Bishop Sean Rowe said an investigator with Title IV expertise reviewed the complaint before an accord was negotiated. The public notices do not identify specific sexual acts, coercion or assault. The case belongs here as an adult-boundary and disciplinary matter, with the limits of the church's public description preserved.

Response

Andrus entered the accord. The cited announcements do not publish his detailed response to the underlying allegations.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-10-09	Ministry restricted pending the Title IV process.
2025-06-03	Disciplinary Board for Bishops approved an accord; publicly announced June 5.
2026-10-09	Suspension ended, according to the presiding bishop's office.

Public-record limits

The public description does not establish assault or coercion. The ordinary requirement for a retired bishop to obtain diocesan permission for episcopal acts remains.

Sources

1. Rowe announces Andrus accord. The Episcopal Church · 2025-06-05. Describes the allegation, investigation and disciplinary terms.

2. DioCal newsletter: Statement from the presiding bishop's office. Diocese of California · 2026-10-29. Confirms suspension ended October 9, 2026 and good standing was restored.

3. Initial diocesan announcement. Diocese of California · 2024-10-15. Documents the initial restriction and adult-relationship allegation.

CALIFORNIA / AW-006

Roger Haenke: sexual-misconduct allegation and reported deposition

Subject: Roger Haenke · **Role:** Rector at the time

Setting: St. John's Episcopal Church, Chula Vista. **Diocese:** San Diego

Category: Alleged sexual misconduct involving a minor / online solicitation

Conduct / complaint period: Allegation disclosed August 2025

Source basis: Diocesan announcement; *Anglican Watch* report of diocesan confirmation

Connection to Title IV: Official announcement; reported diocesan confirmation of closure

Latest known outcome	*Anglican Watch* reported direct diocesan confirmation that Haenke was deposed in September 2025 and the Title IV matter closed.

Status date: 2026-11-09

What was reported

The diocese opened Title IV proceedings after a social-media allegation of sexual misconduct with a minor. *Anglican Watch* described the underlying allegation as solicitation involving decoys posing as teenagers. The diocese notified civil authorities.

Response

No substantive respondent response was located. The initial diocesan notice said he would remain on leave.

Public-record limits

The deposition's full terms and any merits determination were not retrieved. The older investigation announcement does not establish that the case remains open.

Sources

1. Diocesan announcement. Diocese of San Diego · 2025-08-05. Confirms the allegation, referral and initiation of Title IV proceedings.

2. Reported closure and deposition. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-22; updated 2026-11-09. Reports the diocese's email confirmation that the case had closed.

COLORADO / AW-060

Paul Robinson and Colorado church leadership: pastoral exploitation and civil liability

Subject: Paul Robinson; William C. Frey and the Diocese of Colorado · **Role:** Robinson: assistant priest; Frey: bishop; diocese: oversight defendant

Setting: St. Philip and St. James Episcopal Church, Denver. **Diocese:** Diocese of Colorado

Category: Pastoral sexual exploitation; distinct diocesan and episcopal handling claims

Conduct / complaint period: 1984–1986 sexual relationship; related church handling in 1985–1986

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Included for the reported response of Bishop William Frey and the diocese to clergy misconduct allegations, as examined in civil litigation. No formal Episcopal disciplinary proceeding or separate bishop-level case is established.

Historical episcopal complaint-handling entry; the reported adjudication was civil, not a Title IV judgment.

Latest known outcome	ENS reported a reduced civil award of $728,100 after the partial reversal, followed by denial of U.S. Supreme Court review.

Status date: 1994-07-13

What was reported

A parishioner sued over a sexual relationship with her counseling priest, Paul Robinson, and the response of Bishop William Frey and the diocese. The Colorado Supreme Court's account records Robinson's eventual admissions and allegations that church officials discouraged disclosure. The civil verdict concerned the defendants' own duties and handling, alongside a separate vicarious-liability theory. The claims against Robinson were severed after he filed for bankruptcy; the appellate judgment reviewed the bishop and diocese's liability.

Response

The diocese and Frey contested liability and argued that adjudication would violate religious freedom. The state supreme court rejected that broad immunity argument but reversed the vicarious-liability portion.

Publicly reported chronology

1990	Civil action filed.
1991	Jury returned a verdict against the bishop and diocese.
1993-11-15	Colorado Supreme Court affirmed fiduciary-duty and negligent hiring/supervision findings while reversing vicarious liability.
1994-05-31	U.S. Supreme Court declined further review.

Public-record limits

These are civil findings against the identified defendants, not criminal convictions. The priest's conduct and the leadership's handling are distinct. A formal Episcopal disciplinary proceeding is not established here.

Sources

1. Moses v. Diocese of Colorado, 863 P.2d 310. Colorado Supreme Court; reproduced by Justia · 1993-11-15. Primary appellate opinion distinguishing direct duties from vicarious liability.

2. Supreme Court rejects appeal on Colorado sexual misconduct case. Episcopal News Service · 1994-07-13. Reports the final appellate posture, reduced award and church defendants' position.

3. Out of bounds: The church faces clergy sexual misconduct. Episcopal News Service · 1992-05-29. Contemporaneous church reporting on the original civil verdict and oversight allegations.

COLORADO / AW-061

Donald Armstrong: financial misconduct finding and deposition

Subject: Donald Armstrong · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Grace and St. Stephen's Episcopal Church, Colorado Springs. **Diocese:** Colorado

Category: Financial misconduct; misuse of charitable funds; accounting

Conduct / complaint period: Financial records examined covered approximately 1996–2006

Connection to Title IV: Reported ecclesiastical court findings and deposition under the pre-2011 disciplinary framework.

Latest known outcome	Historical church disposition: deposed in 2007. The later criminal sentence was probation and restitution, not imprisonment. No subsequent Episcopal restoration was located.

Status date: 2011-02-25

What was reported

The Diocese of Colorado investigated allegations that Armstrong diverted parish and scholarship money for personal and family expenses, received unauthorized loans, and failed to report benefits or keep proper accounts. An ecclesiastical court found him guilty on six counts in 2007, and Bishop Robert O'Neill deposed him. Armstrong denied wrongdoing and disputed the church court's jurisdiction after affiliating with CANA. The separate criminal case ended in a plea agreement and a 2011 probation and restitution sentence; its scope and disposition should not be substituted for the church findings.

Response

Armstrong maintained his innocence and did not attend the ecclesiastical trial. His representatives argued that his departure from the Episcopal Church deprived its court of jurisdiction. His new congregation described the later misdemeanor plea as an Alford plea without an admission of guilt.

Publicly reported chronology

2007-01-05	ENS reported an investigation and temporary inhibition.
2007-08-08	The church court publicly issued its preliminary guilty findings.
2007-09-26	The ecclesiastical court issued final findings on six counts; deposition followed in 2007.
2010-09-17	A separate criminal plea agreement included a felony theft plea with deferred judgment and a misdemeanor theft plea.
2011-02-25	A criminal court judge imposed four years' probation, 400 hours' community service and $99,247 restitution. The judge declined to order an additional $191,753, citing evidence that parish officials had approved other tuition payments.

Public-record limits

This was a pre-July 2011 Title IV proceeding. The church's larger financial findings and the criminal court's narrower restitution order are different determinations. The consulted reports describe the pleas differently; this entry does not convert the deferred felony judgment into a permanent felony conviction.

Companion criminal catalog CO-02. Criminal and church outcomes are separate.

Sources

1. Colorado: Priest inhibited during financial investigation. Episcopal News Service · 2007-01-05. Initial diocesan announcement of allegations, investigation and temporary inhibition.

2. Colorado: Former rector Don Armstrong found guilty of financial misconduct. Episcopal News Service · 2007-08-08. Reports six church findings and explains that the initial judgment was preliminary.

3. Colorado: Former Grace-St. Stephen's rector accepts plea agreement stemming from 20 felony theft charges. Episcopal News Service · 2010-09-20. Confirms church deposition, records Armstrong's denial, and distinguishes the later criminal pleas.

4. Armstrong sentenced to probation, $99,247 restitution. The Gazette · 2011-02-25. Reports the criminal sentence and the judge's rejection of part of the restitution request.

CONNECTICUT / AW-062

Mark H. Hansen: disputed abandonment process and deposition

Subject: Mark H. Hansen · **Role:** Rector

Setting: St. John's Episcopal Church, Bristol. **Diocese:** Connecticut

Category: Abandonment of communion; disputed sabbatical; episcopal authority

Conduct / complaint period: 2005

Connection to Title IV: The historical Title IV abandonment proceeding is documented. Other bishops publicly disputed Smith's use of the canon; reports of threatened charges do not establish a separate filed case against Smith.

Latest known outcome	Historical disposition: deposed in January 2006. A later diocesan statement identifies him as a lay pastor; no Episcopal restoration order was located.

Status date: 2019-05-15

What was reported

Hansen was one of six Connecticut priests disputing Bishop Andrew Smith's authority after the bishop supported Gene Robinson's consecration. Smith inhibited Hansen amid claims that he had left the parish without adequate leadership by taking an unauthorized sabbatical. Hansen said he had notified the bishop and arranged pastoral coverage. Other bishops publicly challenged the use of Title IV's abandonment canon against clergy who said they remained Episcopalians. The diocese announced that Hansen was deposed effective January 13, 2006. This entry records the disputed canonical process, not sexual misconduct.

Response

Hansen contested the claim that he had abandoned parish leadership. Seventeen bishops initially objected to the abandonment procedure, and nine later threatened intervention and charges against Smith. Diocesan officials said the six priests demanded oversight terms incompatible with the offered arrangement.

Publicly reported chronology

2005-04-29	The standing committee recommended inhibition of the six priests, according to ENS.
2005-07	Smith inhibited Hansen and appointed a priest-in-charge. Contemporary accounts differ on the precise date.
2006-01-13	The Diocese of Connecticut announced Hansen's deposition.
2019-05-15	The Diocese of Easton publicly identified Hansen as a lay pastor at St. Clement's, Massey, Maryland.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 abandonment procedure. Inhibition dates differ across reports, so the chronology uses July 2005. Later service as a lay pastor does not by itself establish restoration to ordained ministry. The underlying theological dispute is not classified as sexual abuse.

Sources

1. Diocesan Digest: Nine Network bishops threaten charges over Connecticut parishes. Episcopal News Service · 2005-07-28. Identifies Title IV Canon 10, the standing committee action and bishops' objections.

2. Conservative Episcopal Priest Removed from Church. Christianity Today · 2006-04-13. Reports the contested sabbatical, diocesan explanation and Hansen's response; the article describes the earlier inhibition.

3. Diocesan Digest: Connecticut, Bristol priest deposed. Episcopal News Service / Diocese of Connecticut · 2006-01-19. Carries the diocesan announcement of deposition effective January 13.

4. Communication Regarding Heather Cook-Hanson Release. Diocese of Easton · 2019-05-15. Identifies Hansen's later position expressly as lay pastor.

CONNECTICUT / AW-007

Amjad Samuel: alleged intimidation and retaliation

Subject: Amjad Samuel · **Role:** Rector

Setting: St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Shelton. **Diocese:** Episcopal Church in Connecticut

Category: Bullying and intimidation; retaliation; disciplinary-process dispute

Conduct / complaint period: Allegations concern ministry beginning in late 2014

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Earlier disciplinary process ended as no longer in order following separate abandonment deposition; no merits determination established here.

Status date: 2024-07-10

What was reported

Samuel faced a Title IV proceeding involving allegations of a hostile, intimidating parish environment and retaliation against people who complained. The public record includes the church attorney's allegations and extensive challenges by Samuel to the diocesan process. In July 2024, the bishop announced that Samuel's deposition in a separate abandonment proceeding left this earlier disciplinary case no longer in order. That announcement did not establish the truth or falsity of the underlying misconduct allegations.

Response

Samuel contested the process and sought dismissal or a return to intake, alleging canonical violations and an inability to obtain a fair hearing.

Publicly reported chronology

2022-03-04	Diocesan docket lists statement of offenses and respondent's response.
2022-09	Respondent's filing quotes the allegation of a hostile and intimidating environment while contesting a procedural motion.
2024-07-10	Bishop said a separate abandonment deposition rendered the earlier disciplinary proceeding no longer in order.

Public-record limits

The older docket still uses pending-language. The later bishop's letter governs this entry's procedural summary. Abandonment deposition is not treated as proof of bullying or retaliation.

Related records: AW-008.

Sources

1. Public disciplinary docket. Episcopal Church in Connecticut · 2024-08-07. Lists allegations, responses, and procedural filings.

2. Respondent's supplemental response. Samuel's counsel; published by Episcopal Church in Connecticut · 2022-09. Quotes the hostile-environment allegation while opposing removal of a complainant.

3. Spotlight on abuse: Episcopal priest Amjad Samuel. *Anglican Watch* · 2022. Reports retaliation allegations and the Title IV proceeding.

4. Bishop's letter regarding deposition. Episcopal Church in Connecticut · 2024-07-10. Distinguishes abandonment deposition from the earlier complaint proceeding.

CONNECTICUT / AW-008

Amjad Samuel: separate abandonment proceeding

Subject: Amjad Samuel · **Role:** Priest and former rector

Setting: St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Shelton. **Diocese:** Episcopal Church in Connecticut

Category: Abandonment of the Episcopal Church; canonical status

Conduct / complaint period: 2024

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Deposed for abandonment, according to the bishop's announcement.

Status date: 2024-07-10

What was reported

Connecticut's Standing Committee determined that Samuel had abandoned the Episcopal Church, and the bishop affirmed that determination in May 2024. The bishop announced a sixty-day opportunity for a good-faith retraction or denial. His July announcement states that none was provided and that he deposed Samuel on July 10. This was a separate canonical-status proceeding; it did not adjudicate the earlier allegations about Samuel's treatment of parishioners.

Response

According to the bishop's July 2024 account, Samuel submitted no retraction or denial during the specified period. That is the bishop's reported procedural account.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-04-19	Standing Committee determined abandonment.
2024-05-06	Bishop affirmed the determination and notified Samuel.
2024-07-10	Bishop announced deposition from ordained ministry.

Public-record limits

Separate from the earlier misconduct proceeding. Abandonment does not establish that the earlier intimidation or retaliation allegations were true.

Related records: AW-007.

Sources

1. Determination and notification of abandonment. Episcopal Church in Connecticut · 2024-05-09. Reports Standing Committee determination, bishop's affirmation, and response period.

2. Bishop's letter regarding deposition. Episcopal Church in Connecticut · 2024-07-10. Confirms deposition and distinguishes the separate proceedings.

FLORIDA / AW-009

John Howard: discrimination allegations resolved without discipline

Subject: John Howard · **Role:** Former bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Florida. **Diocese:** Florida

Category: Anti-LGBTQ+ discrimination

Conduct / complaint period: During episcopacy ending in 2023

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by accord without admission or Title IV discipline; separately released from ordained ministry at his request.

Status date: 2025-10-01

What was reported

The discrimination case alleged unequal treatment of a priest within a wider pattern affecting LGBTQ+ clergy and candidates. Howard denied discriminating against the complainant. The matter reached a hearing panel but ended in an accord approved in September 2025, without an admission or Title IV disciplinary consequences. Howard separately requested release and removal from ordained ministry, which was accepted on October 1. That voluntary departure was not a disciplinary sentence.

Response

Denied discrimination; declined to admit fault in the accord.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-07-24	Information received by intake officer.
2024-06-07	Referred to hearing panel.
2025-09-30	Accord approved.
2025-10-01	Conclusion announced; separate voluntary release from ministry accepted.

Public-record limits

Sexual-orientation discrimination is not classified as an allegation of sexual misconduct.

Related records: AW-010.

Sources

1. Referral of the Howard discrimination matter. Disciplinary Board for Bishops · 2024-06-07. Official referral records the discrimination complaint's progress to a hearing panel.

2. Howard discrimination and financial case documents. Episcopal News Service · 2025-02-03. Describes the two separate cases and Howard's written denials.

3. Presiding bishop's announcement ending the Howard cases. Episcopal Diocese of Florida / Presiding Bishop Sean Rowe · 2025-10-01. Confirms an accord without admission or disciplinary consequences and a separate voluntary release from ministry.

FLORIDA / AW-010

John Howard: financial allegations resolved without discipline

Subject: John Howard · **Role:** Former bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Florida and diocesan foundation. **Diocese:** Florida

Category: Financial management; alleged conflicts of interest

Conduct / complaint period: Transactions during episcopacy, including 2013–2021 and 2019

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by accord without admission or disciplinary consequences.

Status date: 2025-10-01

What was reported

A separate case challenged spending from Howard's discretionary account, a donor-funded compensation and pension arrangement, and forgiveness of a housing loan. Howard said the transactions were authorized, transparent, and properly documented. This case ended with the same September 2025 accord as the discrimination matter. The accord imposed no disciplinary consequences and required no admission. The allegations therefore remain allegations, despite the extensive documentary record.

Response

Denied wrongdoing and asserted diocesan authorization and documentation.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-02-22	Financial Title IV matter publicly disclosed.
2024-08-19	Howard filed his written response.
2025-09-30	Accord approved.

Public-record limits

A separate financial matter, cross-linked to the shared accord; not a criminal finding.

Related records: AW-009.

Sources

1. Howard discrimination and financial case documents. Episcopal News Service · 2025-02-03. Describes the two separate cases and Howard's written denials.

2. Howard response to financial allegations. John Howard / The Episcopal Church · 2024-08-19. Howard argues that the challenged transactions were authorized, transparent, and documented.

3. Presiding bishop's announcement ending the Howard cases. Episcopal Diocese of Florida / Presiding Bishop Sean Rowe · 2025-10-01. Confirms an accord without admission or disciplinary consequences and a separate voluntary release from ministry.

FLORIDA / AW-011

Tony Clark: Texas deposition and later Florida investigative findings

Subject: Tony Clark · **Role:** Former cathedral dean; later rector

Setting: Cathedral Church of St. Luke, Orlando; St. Mark's Episcopal Church, Beaumont. **Diocese:** Dioceses of Central Florida and Texas

Category: Sexual misconduct involving adults; abuse of pastoral authority

Conduct / complaint period: Cathedral tenure 2006–2015; later Texas ministry

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Deposed; the August 2024 letter also said the cathedral continued to invite additional information.

Status date: 2024-08-04

What was reported

An official cathedral letter reports that Texas had deposed Clark for sexual misconduct by 2021. After additional allegations involving his earlier Orlando ministry emerged in 2023, the cathedral commissioned an investigation. Its August 2024 announcement said the inquiry found sexual misconduct involving adults and misuse of clerical power. This entry records those attributed church and investigative findings. The public letter withholds details to protect the affected people.

Response

The cathedral said Clark declined the investigator's invitation to discuss the allegations.

Publicly reported chronology

2021	Texas deposition reported to the cathedral.
2023	New allegations concerning the earlier cathedral tenure prompted an inquiry.
2024-08-04	Cathedral publicly announced the inquiry's findings.

Public-record limits

The source reports church and investigative findings, not a criminal judgment. It says his 2015 cathedral departure was unrelated to these later-disclosed allegations.

Related records: AW-109 (broader index).

Sources

1. Letter to the cathedral family. Cathedral Church of St. Luke and Diocese of Central Florida · 2024-08-04. Documents the Texas deposition, Florida inquiry, findings and response.

FLORIDA / AW-103

Mark S. Anderson: relationship and financial allegations

Subject: Mark S. Anderson · **Role:** Vicar and priest

Setting: St. John's Cathedral, Jacksonville. **Diocese:** Florida

Category: Adult relationship; alleged dishonesty and financial misrepresentation; complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: Events described in divorce records and 2026 reporting; exact dates vary

Source basis: AW reporting on court filings and its own disciplinary complaint; official cathedral biography

Connection to Title IV: AW reports its own filing and an intake response acknowledging an earlier complaint concerning the same allegations.

Latest known outcome	Reported intake-designation dispute and appeal; no final Title IV outcome located. The reviewed sources do not establish criminal charges or a criminal judgment. The cathedral staff page lists Anderson as vicar.

Status date: 2026-11-15

What was reported

AW reported that Anderson's divorce testimony acknowledged a committed relationship while he remained married. It also alleged that inconsistent descriptions of a family transfer as a mortgage gift and a marital loan raised mortgage-fraud or perjury concerns, and reported emotional abuse allegations. Those characterizations remain allegations; an acknowledged relationship or inconsistent accounts do not by themselves establish the alleged legal violations. AW is both the reporting organization and a disciplinary complainant and has sought intervention over access to the divorce record.

Response

As reported by AW, Anderson's court position treated the transfer as a loan despite the lender's gift documentation. He sought to seal divorce records. No adjudication of the reported misconduct was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2026-07-17	AW published allegations based on deposition testimony and financial records.
2026-08-18	AW reported that the judge deferred a ruling in the public-access dispute.
2026-08-20	AW published an intake response referencing an earlier complaint and declining to designate AW as another complainant; AW reported an appeal.
2026-10-28	AW reiterated the relationship and accountability concerns; no final Title IV outcome was reported.

Public-record limits

Do not present AW's fraud or perjury allegations as charges, findings or admissions. A request to seal family-court records is not itself proof of wrongdoing. The editor's participation in the dispute is material context.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* is a disciplinary complainant in this matter. Its editor, Eric Bonetti, has also participated in litigation concerning public access to Anderson's divorce records. Those roles are material context because *Anglican Watch* reporting supplies much of this entry.

Sources

1. Anderson relationship and financial allegations. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-07-17. Allegations attributed to divorce testimony and financial documents; includes respondent's reported loan position.

2. Title IV intake-designation dispute. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-08-20. Publisher reports its complaint, intake response, existing complaint and appeal.

3. Public-access hearing update. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-08-18. Reports deferred ruling in access litigation, not a misconduct adjudication.

4. October Anderson follow-up. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-28. Restates the relationship issue and expressly says the dean's reporting was not established.

5. Official cathedral staff biography. St. John's Cathedral, Jacksonville · Undated; checked 2026-11-15. Identifies Anderson as vicar responsible for daily operations, staff, worship and pastoral care.

GEORGIA / AW-013

Robert Wright: workplace complaint dismissed

Subject: Robert Wright · **Role:** Bishop of Atlanta

Setting: Absalom Jones Episcopal Center for Racial Healing. **Diocese:** Atlanta

Category: Workplace conduct and use of authority

Conduct / complaint period: Workplace relationship through 2023

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Dismissed with pastoral response; published explanation says no offense was demonstrated.

Status date: 2024-06-14

What was reported

The center's former director accused Wright of ageism, ableism, microaggressions, and abuse of authority. The reference panel dismissed the complaint with pastoral response. In a June update, Wright published an excerpt stating that the allegations did not demonstrate that he exceeded his authority or committed an offense. He also defended changes to the center's governance and staffing. No disciplinary finding against Wright is established by this record.

Response

Defended his management decisions and published the favorable dismissal explanation.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-12-15	Complaint received, according to the churchwide disclosure.
2024-02-22	Reference panel dismissed the matter with pastoral response.
2024-06-14	Bishop published an excerpt explaining dismissal.

Public-record limits

The complaint's public allegations are not disciplinary findings.

Sources

1. Disclosure of five Title IV matters involving presiding bishop candidates. The Episcopal Church · 2024-06-13. Official disclosure identifying the complaints and their procedural dispositions.

2. Tensions flare publicly between former Absalom Jones Center director and Atlanta bishop. Episcopal News Service · 2024-03-19. Describes the workplace allegations and includes both sides' public accounts.

3. Wright statement on the Center for Racial Healing. Episcopal Diocese of Atlanta · 2024-06-14. Publishes the bishop's response and an excerpt explaining the complaint's dismissal.

ILLINOIS / AW-090

John Rumple and Paula Clark: exclusion and complaint handling

Subject: John G. Rumple; Paula Clark's related complaint handling · **Role:** Rumple: rector at the time; Clark: bishop of Chicago

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Oak Park; Diocese of Chicago. **Diocese:** Diocese of Chicago

Category: Alleged spiritual abuse and exclusion; bishop complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: 2024–2025

Source basis: Named complainant's published account

Connection to Title IV: Reported proceedings against Rumple and Clark, with distinct outcomes.

Latest known outcome	Rumple accord and Clark appeal outcome reported; completion of the meeting and apology not established.

Status date: 2025-12-04

What was reported

Complainant Stan-lee C. Kaderbek said Rumple barred him from communion, ministries and church property after criticism, then withdrew the ban and resigned. Kaderbek reported an accord with Rumple and inadequate pastoral response. He also described a complaint against Clark: dismissed at intake, then upheld on appeal with a direction that she meet him and apologize after Rumple's case ended.

Response

Rumple reportedly called him divisive and rescinded the ban. No separate Clark response located.

Public-record limits

First-person account; primary decisions unavailable. The reported appellate direction is not independently verified.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* published the complainant's guest account.

Sources

1. Guest post: Title IV tribulations. Stan-lee C. Kaderbek, published by *Anglican Watch* · 2025-12-04. Complainant's account of both proceedings.

KENTUCKY / AW-066

Christopher B. Platt: financial presentment and church tribunal finding

Subject: Christopher B. Platt · **Role:** Bishop's assistant and university chaplain

Setting: Diocese of Lexington; St. Augustine's Chapel, University of Kentucky. **Diocese:** Lexington

Category: Financial misconduct; church tribunal

Conduct / complaint period: Financial transactions investigated through March 2003, including 2002 checks

Connection to Title IV: Archived presentment and contemporary reporting of an ecclesiastical tribunal finding.

Latest known outcome	A church tribunal guilty finding was publicly reported in April 2004. A final sentence or appellate disposition was not located; neither a pending case nor a completed deposition is established.

Status date: 2004-04-22

What was reported

Lexington's standing committee issued a 2003 presentment alleging that Platt misappropriated diocesan and chapel funds and concealed personal transactions. The charges were crime and conduct unbecoming clergy under the then-current Title IV. At the April 2004 trial, diocesan officials alleged almost $50,000 in improper spending. Platt's lawyer disputed criminal intent and said he believed the payments were authorized. The Lexington Herald-Leader subsequently reported that the tribunal found him guilty of both offenses. The final ecclesiastical sanction and any appeal were not located in the consulted public material.

Response

Platt and his lawyer denied theft and immoral conduct, argued that he believed the checks were permitted, and described money repaid to the diocese as repayment of a loan.

Publicly reported chronology

2003-06-23	The standing committee convened and issued a presentment under then-current Title IV.
2004-04-19	The ecclesiastical trial began.
2004-04-22	The Herald-Leader reported the following day that the tribunal found Platt guilty of crime and conduct unbecoming clergy.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 framework. The presentment is a charging document; the finding comes from contemporary newspaper reporting. The archival newspaper PDF was search-indexed, but its size prevented full retrieval. No secular criminal conviction is asserted.

Sources

1. Presentment: In the Matter of Christopher B. Platt. Diocese of Lexington / The Archives of the Episcopal Church · 2003-06-23. Primary charging document identifies the Title IV offenses and alleged transactions.

2. Kentucky: Episcopal Diocese presents theft case against priest. Lexington Herald-Leader reporting reproduced by VirtueOnline · 2004-04-20. Contemporary trial account includes both diocesan allegations and Platt's defense.

3. Panel finds priest guilty. Lexington Herald-Leader, archived by the University of Alabama · 2004-04-23. Frank E. Lockwood's contemporary article reports the tribunal's two guilty findings.

MARYLAND / AW-068

Samuel Lee Edwards: unlicensed-ministry presentment; trial cancelled after renunciation

Subject: Samuel Lee Edwards · **Role:** Priest selected by the vestry as rector; election rejected by the diocesan bishop

Setting: Christ Church and St. John's Parish, Accokeek. **Diocese:** Fort Worth; disputed ministry in Washington

Category: Unlicensed ministry; episcopal authority; cancelled church trial

Conduct / complaint period: 2000–2002

Connection to Title IV: Public church reporting identifies a presentment and scheduled ecclesiastical trial, later cancelled.

Latest known outcome	The church trial was cancelled after renunciation. The consulted account does not report a trial finding on the surviving charge or a completed deposition order.

Status date: 2002-06-27

What was reported

The dispute concerned Edwards's attempt to serve as rector in Accokeek after Bishop Jane Holmes Dixon rejected his election. Washington clergy brought three canonical charges. Fort Worth, where Edwards remained canonically resident, referred one charge to trial, rejected another, and recorded the withdrawal of the third. The surviving charge concerned ministry beyond the permitted period without a diocesan license. Edwards and the vestry disputed Dixon's authority and timing. Federal courts upheld her authority; the separate church trial was cancelled when Edwards renounced his Episcopal ministry in June 2002.

Response

Edwards and the vestry argued that Dixon had failed to object within the canonical time limit. Edwards later denounced the denomination's treatment of him. Bishop Jack Iker supported his criticism of the process and said a deposition following the renunciation would require standing-committee consent.

Publicly reported chronology

2001-05-29	Fifteen Washington clergy filed three ecclesiastical charges, transferred to Fort Worth because of Edwards's canonical residence.
2001-12-17	Fort Worth issued a presentment on one charge, dismissed another and noted withdrawal of the third.
2002-05-22	The Fourth Circuit affirmed the civil judgment recognizing Dixon's authority over the parish and Edwards's appointment.
2002-06-27	ENS reported Edwards's renunciation and cancellation of the ecclesiastical trial.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 framework. The federal judgment and the untried disciplinary charge are separate proceedings. Two of the original charges were withdrawn or dismissed.

Sources

1. Court of Appeals Affirms Bishop Dixon in Accokeek Case. Episcopal News Service · 2002-05-23. Reports the licensing dispute, opposing canonical arguments, civil appeal and pending church trial.

2. Dixon v. Edwards, 290 F.3d 699. U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, reproduced by Justia · 2002-05-22. Civil appellate decision; also records the three charges and Fort Worth's partial presentment.

3. Controversial Maryland Priest Renounces ECUSA Orders. Episcopal News Service · 2002-06-27. Reports renunciation, cancellation of trial and the stated next canonical step.

MARYLAND / AW-015

Heather Cook: deposition following misconduct and misrepresentation allegations

Subject: Heather Cook · **Role:** Bishop suffragan of Maryland at the time

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Maryland; earlier Diocese of Easton ministry. **Diocese:** Maryland; Easton

Category: Dangerous conduct; alleged dishonesty

Conduct / complaint period: December 27, 2014 collision; earlier alleged representations during ministry and candidacy

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Title IV matters resolved by accord providing for deposition.

Status date: 2015-05-01

What was reported

Cook's Title IV restriction cited alleged conduct connected with the fatal Baltimore collision and alleged misrepresentations about her alcohol history during earlier ministry and her bishop candidacy. On May 1, 2015, the church announced an accord providing for deposition and resolving the ecclesiastical matters. Her employment resignation was a separate action. This record addresses the church disciplinary process; the criminal case belongs in the linked criminal catalog.

Response

Accepted the accord providing for deposition; the reviewed notice does not separately resolve each alleged misrepresentation.

Publicly reported chronology

2015-02-10	Presiding bishop formally restricted ministry under Title IV.
2015-05-01	Deposition accord and separate resignation announced.

Public-record limits

The public accord announcement does not publish individualized findings on every alleged representation. Criminal and employment outcomes remain distinct.

Related records: AW-014 (broader index).

Sources

1. Restriction of Heather Cook's ministry. The Episcopal Church · 2015-02-10. Official notice identifies alleged criminal conduct and alleged misrepresentations about alcohol history.

2. Dual actions end Cook's ordained ministry and employment. Episcopal News Service · 2015-05-01. Reproduces the official deposition announcement and distinguishes church, employment, and criminal processes.

MARYLAND / AW-016

Santosh Marray: bullying allegations and concluded complaints

Subject: Santosh K. Marray · **Role:** Bishop of Easton during the reported events

Setting: Diocese of Easton. **Diocese:** Easton

Category: Bullying; alleged retaliation against dissenters; misrepresentation; alleged discrimination

Conduct / complaint period: Reported episodes from 2019 onward; complaints filed July 2023 and February 2024

Source basis: Official diocesan statements; Episcopal News Service reporting of churchwide case notices; attributed *Anglican Watch* reporting.

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Both publicly listed Title IV matters concluded with pastoral responses on January 21, 2025. The diocesan chancellor separately described the outcome as a decision that Marray committed no Title IV offenses.

Status date: 2025-02-11

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported bullying and threatened reprisals against dissenting convention delegates, later adding allegations of discrimination against women and LGBTQ+ clergy. Two publicly listed complaints concerned public statements, behavior and misrepresentations. Their descriptions do not establish whether the broader discrimination allegations were included.

Response

Marray reportedly called the allegations fabrications. The diocesan chancellor said the reference panel determined that Marray committed no Title IV offenses.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-07; 2024-02	Two complaints filed about public statements, behavior and misrepresentations.
2024-05	Both matters referred to conciliation; pastoral direction issued.
2025-01-21	Both matters concluded with pastoral responses, according to Episcopal News Service’s account of the churchwide record.
2025-02-11	Diocese published the chancellor’s description that no Title IV offenses had been committed.

Public-record limits

The complete determinations were not retrieved. This entry reports both public outcome descriptions without treating them as an independently reviewed hearing judgment. The broader discrimination allegations are not established as subjects of either complaint.

Sources

1. Reported bullying, threatened reprisals and denial. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-05-15; updated 2024-06-22. Reports bullying, denunciations of clergy and threatened publication of dissenting delegates' names; also reports Marray's denial.

2. Complaints referred to conciliation. Episcopal News Service · 2024-05-30. Describes the two publicly listed complaints and their referral to conciliation.

3. Reported closure with pastoral responses. Episcopal News Service · 2025-02-11. Reports January 21, 2025 determinations concluding both matters with pastoral responses and notes that no further resolution details were provided.

4. Diocesan statement on the concluded matters. Diocese of Easton · 2025-02-11. Publishes the chancellor's no-offenses description and the earlier standing-committee statement about conciliation and cooperation.

5. Later discrimination allegations and criticism of the process. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-27; updated 2026-11-12. Critiques the differing public descriptions and alleges marginalization of women and LGBTQ+ clergy; acknowledges uncertainty about whether those allegations entered the proceedings.

MASSACHUSETTS / AW-070

James Hiles: adultery findings, church appeal and deposition

Subject: James R. Hiles · **Role:** Rector and vicar

Setting: St. Paul's, Brockton; Church of Our Saviour, Milton. **Diocese:** Massachusetts

Category: Adultery / pastoral sexual misconduct / disciplinary procedure

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged relationships in the 1970s; proceedings from 1996

Source basis: Church appellate decision; contemporaneous news; civil appellate opinions

Connection to Title IV: Published ecclesiastical Court of Review decision applying the historical Title IV canons

Latest known outcome	Church findings affirmed on review; deposition reported. No later Episcopal restoration was established.

Status date: Deposition publicly confirmed 2000-10-03

What was reported

Three women parishioners accused Hiles of adulterous sexual relationships. The church trial court found eight canonical violations: six relationship-related counts and two concerning confidentiality and resort to secular courts to delay or hinder the disciplinary proceedings. The provincial Court of Review affirmed. Episcopal News Service later reported that Hiles had been defrocked.

Response

Hiles denied the allegations and claimed that the discipline was retaliation over control of a parish bequest. The diocese defended the church hearing and findings.

Publicly reported chronology

1996-03-27	Bishop imposed a temporary inhibition after receiving a complaint.
1996-08-06	Standing committee issued a presentment; later proceedings addressed eight counts.
1997-1998	Trial finding, unsuccessful church appeal and deposition, as reconstructed in the cited historical account.
2000-10-03	Episcopal News Service expressly reported that he had been defrocked.

Public-record limits

This was a pre-2011 Title IV proceeding. Church findings are not criminal convictions. Later ministry in another Anglican jurisdiction would not establish restoration in The Episcopal Church. The separate civil claims concerning diocesan conduct have their own entry.

Related records: AW-071.

Sources

1. Church Court of Review decision. Province I Court of Review / Episcopal Archives · Historical decision; archive copy. Affirms the eight canonical findings and identifies the applicable former Title IV provisions.

2. Contemporaneous report confirming deposition. Episcopal News Service · 2000-10-03. Reports Hiles's defrocking and supporters' claim that the action was retaliatory.

3. Hiles's challenge and the diocesan response. Associated Press / Midland Reporter-Telegram · 2002-02-12. Reports Hiles's denial and retaliation allegation, and the diocese's defense of its hearing.

4. Historical reconstruction and source trail. Arlie Coles, Detestable Enormities · 2025-03-17. Reconstructs the 1997-1998 church proceedings with citations to contemporary records.

MASSACHUSETTS / AW-071

Massachusetts diocesan leadership: Hiles's retaliation and assault allegations

Subject: M. Thomas Shaw, Barbara C. Harris and related diocesan defendants · **Role:** Bishop, suffragan bishop and diocesan governance body

Setting: Diocesan bishops and standing committee; Church of Our Saviour, Milton. **Diocese:** Massachusetts

Category: Alleged retaliation / false accusations / assault / institutional authority

Conduct / complaint period: Disputed events in 1995-1996; civil appeal decided in 2002

Source basis: Allegations reproduced in appellate opinions; contemporaneous reporting; church appellate response

Connection to Title IV: Hiles's historical church proceeding is documented. This companion entry covers his civil allegations of episcopal manipulation and retaliation concerning that discipline. A separate Title IV case against Shaw or Harris is not established.

Historical handling allegations linked to Hiles's clergy discipline; the civil suit was not itself a Title IV proceeding.

Latest known outcome	In 2002 the state's highest court upheld dismissal of the challenged claims, while affirming remand of the assault-and-battery claim and related consortium claim. Their later disposition was not established.

Status date: 2002-08-15

What was reported

In civil litigation, James Hiles alleged that diocesan actors used false adultery accusations to pressure a mission church over its bequest. He separately alleged that Bishop Shaw struck him with a pen and threatened removal. The standing committee and Bishop Harris were defendants to specified institutional claims, not to the alleged physical act.

Response

The diocese defended the legitimacy of Hiles's discipline. In rejecting Hiles's confidentiality defense, the church Court of Review found no evidentiary support for his claim that he was responding to diocesan defamation.

Public-record limits

Dismissal grounds varied, including church-autonomy and evidentiary grounds. The survival of an assault claim was not a finding that Shaw committed assault. A Title IV complaint against these diocesan actors was not established. Hiles's adverse church judgment is retained in the related record.

Related records: AW-070.

Sources

1. Final state appellate decision on the civil claims. Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court / Justia · 2002-08-15. Recounts the allegations, distinguishes dismissal grounds and preserves remand of the assault-related counts.

2. Earlier appeal and the allegations against diocesan actors. Massachusetts Appeals Court / Justia · 2001-03-28. Records the disputed 1995-1996 events; its partial reinstatement of claims was superseded by the 2002 ruling.

3. Church appellate response to Hiles's challenges. Province I Court of Review / Episcopal Archives · Historical decision; archive copy. Affirms the church findings and discusses Hiles's defamation defense.

MASSACHUSETTS / AW-018

Alan Gates: removal of a priest's collar during worship

Subject: Alan Gates · **Role:** Bishop of Massachusetts at the time

Setting: Cathedral Church of St. Paul, Boston. **Diocese:** Massachusetts

Category: Humiliation; misuse of authority; personal boundaries

Conduct / complaint period: 2024-03-30

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Concluded after conciliation, with pastoral direction and pastoral response.

Status date: 2024-10-08

What was reported

During an Easter Vigil, Gates removed a female priest's collar insert in front of the congregation. He apologized publicly, acknowledged the humiliating impact, and said he had misused his authority and crossed personal boundaries. A Title IV matter proceeded to conciliation. In October 2024, the presiding bishop's designate issued concluding pastoral direction and the reference panel concluded the matter with pastoral response. The reviewed announcement did not disclose the conciliation terms.

Response

Acknowledged the act and its humiliating impact and issued a public apology.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-03-30	Incident at Easter Vigil.
2024-04-12	Written apology issued.
2024-05	Matter referred for conciliation.
2024-10-08	Pastoral direction issued and matter concluded with pastoral response.

Public-record limits

The reviewed sources do not disclose the terms of conciliation or support labeling the incident a sexual offense.

Sources

1. Gates apologizes for removing a priest's collar. Episcopal News Service · 2024-04-15. Describes the recorded incident and reproduces the bishop's acknowledgement and apology.

2. Disciplinary case concludes against Alan Gates. Episcopal News Service · 2024-10-22. Reports conciliation, concluding pastoral direction, and the October 8 pastoral-response resolution.

MICHIGAN / AW-019

Whayne Hougland Jr.: admitted affair, suspension and return to the House of Bishops

Subject: Whayne Hougland Jr. · **Role:** Bishop diocesan of Western Michigan and bishop provisional of Eastern Michigan

Setting: Dioceses of Eastern and Western Michigan. **Diocese:** Dioceses of Eastern and Western Michigan

Category: Admitted adult affair; clergy sexual-conduct discipline

Conduct / complaint period: Before June 2020; precise dates not published in cited notice

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	The latest substantive disciplinary update located reports completion of the accord and readmission to the House of Bishops.

Status date: 2022-06-24

What was reported

The dioceses announced that Hougland admitted an extramarital affair and entered a Title IV accord providing for suspension. They said the other adult was neither an Episcopalian nor a member of either diocesan staff, and that no state or federal law had been broken. This is an admitted-affair and church-discipline record. The cited accounts do not establish sexual assault, coercion or exploitation of a person receiving his pastoral care.

Response

Hougland accepted responsibility and publicly apologized for violating his marriage and ordination commitments.

Publicly reported chronology

2020-06-15	Dioceses announced a negotiated accord and minimum one-year suspension.
2021-07-01	Suspension expired and resignation from both diocesan positions took effect.
2022-03	Readmitted to the House of Bishops as a nonvoting member after reported completion of accord requirements.

Public-record limits

Current employment and ministry permissions were not verified. The admitted affair is not a finding of sexual assault.

Sources

1. Notice: Bishop has been placed on suspension. Diocese of Western Michigan · 2020-06-15. Official notice of admission, accord and suspension.

2. Hougland to resign after suspension. Episcopal News Service · 2021-06-28. Documents resignation and effective date.

3. Michigan deputies seek review of bishop discipline. Episcopal News Service · 2022-06-24. Reports accord completion, readmission and deputies' criticism of the process.

MICHIGAN / AW-088

William Danaher: bullying, exclusion and a concluded Title IV process

Subject: William J. Danaher Jr. and the response at Christ Church Cranbrook · **Role:** Rector; related diocesan complaint handling

Setting: Christ Church Cranbrook. **Diocese:** Diocese of Michigan

Category: Alleged bullying, confidentiality violations, shunning and retaliation

Conduct / complaint period: Conduct preceding a December 2019 accord; further allegations reported in 2025–2026

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* reporting and advocacy correspondence; primary episcopal reply hosted by the publication

Connection to Title IV: Perry's letter confirms a concluded Title IV process; *Anglican Watch* reports the 2019 accord and disputes the adequacy of later episcopal handling. A separate Title IV case against Perry is not established.

Latest known outcome	The bishop described the referenced Title IV matters as concluded. *Anglican Watch* continued to dispute the adequacy of the response; no later finding resolving its further allegations was located.

Status date: 2026-03-04

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported that parishioner complaints about bullying, confidentiality and other conduct led to a December 2019 accord between Danaher and Bishop Wendell Gibbs. Its subsequent reporting alleged continuing exclusion, shunning and retaliation, including accusations of criminal conduct against critics. The publication urged Bishop Bonnie Perry to review the situation. These later assertions are attributed allegations; the cited reporting does not establish a new disciplinary finding against Danaher.

Response

Anglican Watch said Danaher declined its invitation to discuss the allegations. In a February 26, 2026 letter, Perry said the matters raised had been addressed through Title IV and concluded; she directed people with new information to the intake officer.

Publicly reported chronology

2019-12	Accord with Bishop Gibbs reported by *Anglican Watch*. This entry does not characterize unread accord terms as admissions or findings.
2026-02-18	*Anglican Watch* asked Bishop Perry to address further concerns.
2026-02-26	Perry replied that the referenced Title IV matters had concluded and identified intake as the route for new information.

Public-record limits

The later allegations are not findings. Perry's statement establishes that a process concluded, without disclosing its terms or deciding every subsequently published claim. No conclusion about criminal liability is drawn.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* and its editor asked the bishop to intervene and publicly advocated for action; that coverage is not independent of their advocacy.

Sources

1. Spotlight on abuse: Episcopal priest William J. Danaher, Jr.. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-11-22; updated 2026-07-07. Reports the 2019 accord, parishioner allegations and Danaher's decision not to participate in its interview.

2. *Anglican Watch* urges Bishop Bonnie Perry, Diocese of Michigan to address Bill Danaher allegations. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-02-18. Sets out additional allegations and the publication's request for intervention.

3. Bishop Bonnie Perry's response to *Anglican Watch*. Bishop Bonnie Perry, Diocese of Michigan; hosted by *Anglican Watch* · 2026-02-26. Primary letter states that the referenced Title IV matters concluded and gives a route for new information.

4. Bishop Bonnie Perry responds to concerns about Christ Church Cranbrook rector Bill Danaher. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-03-04. Publishes the bishop's response and explains why the publication disputes its adequacy.

MONTANA / AW-074

Charles I. Jones III: sexual misconduct finding, reduced sanction and restoration

Subject: Charles I. (Ci) Jones III · **Role:** Kentucky rector during the conduct; later bishop of Montana

Setting: Russellville parish; Diocese of Montana. **Diocese:** Diocese of Montana; conduct while a Kentucky rector

Category: Admitted adult affair; ecclesiastical findings of sexual exploitation, immorality and conduct unbecoming clergy

Conduct / complaint period: 1981–1983

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: A documented Episcopal Court for the Trial of a Bishop and Court of Review proceeding.

Latest known outcome	ENS reported in October 2008 that the suspension had ended and good standing had been restored in September 2007; Jones still lacked a seat in the House of Bishops at that report date.

Status date: 2008-10-23

What was reported

Jones admitted an extramarital relationship with a woman who was both a parishioner and parish employee while he was rector in Russellville, Kentucky. The later church case treated the pastoral and employment relationship as relevant to sexual exploitation. Following a disputed earlier pastoral response, a Court for the Trial of a Bishop found ecclesiastical offenses and ordered deposition. The subsequent appeal upheld the finding but substantially changed the sanction.

Response

Jones acknowledged the affair but challenged the later proceedings, arguing that the earlier leave and treatment had already resolved the matter. His appeal asserted procedural errors and former jeopardy.

Publicly reported chronology

1993–1994	Earlier leave and treatment; the parties later disputed whether this amounted to discipline.
2000-08	Church court found immorality, conduct unbecoming clergy and sexual exploitation.
2001-02-14	Court ordered deposition; Jones subsequently resigned as diocesan bishop.
2001-05-11	Jones appealed.
2002-05-01	Court of Review affirmed the finding but substituted a five-year suspension for deposition.
2007-09	House of Bishops restored good standing, according to ENS's 2008 account.

Public-record limits

The original deposition is not the final sanction. Restoration did not reverse the underlying ecclesiastical finding, and this entry does not assert current ministry status.

Sources

1. Episcopal Church: Bishop to be punished 19 years after affair. Christianity Today · 2001-02-05. Explains the admitted relationship, trial findings and dispute about prior pastoral handling.

2. Former Montana bishop appeals sentence of deposition. Episcopal News Service · 2001-05-17. Documents the appeal, conduct period and defenses.

3. Montana: Diocese lives the resurrection, leaders tell Executive Council. Episcopal News Service · 2008-10-23. Supplies the modified sanction and September 2007 restoration.

NEW JERSEY / AW-076

George G. Swanson: false-statement conviction reversed and charges dismissed

Subject: George G. Swanson · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Church of the Ascension, Jersey City. **Diocese:** Newark

Category: Alleged false statements under oath; property dispute; appellate dismissal

Conduct / complaint period: 1988 civil litigation following a 1986 church fire

Connection to Title IV: Official church reporting describes the diocesan trial and provincial Court of Review decision.

Latest known outcome	Conviction reversed; charges dismissed. The ruling did not resolve the merits of the underlying property dispute.

Status date: 1990-10-22

What was reported

A dispute over insurance proceeds after a fire at the Church of the Ascension led to civil litigation against Bishop John Shelby Spong and diocesan officials. The diocese accused Swanson of knowingly making false allegations under oath, and its ecclesiastical court convicted him in 1989. The Province II Court of Review unanimously reversed the conviction and dismissed the charges in 1990. It found the evidence insufficient under the then-applicable standard and held that a priest's filing of a civil suit against a bishop was not, by itself, grounds for discipline.

Response

Swanson maintained that diocesan officials had attempted to obtain control of the parish's assets. Diocesan counsel disputed that allegation. The appellate court criticized both sides' conduct while expressly declining to decide the underlying property dispute.

Publicly reported chronology

1989-02-15	The diocese filed a presentment alleging false accusations under oath.
1989-10-30	The diocesan ecclesiastical court convicted Swanson of conduct unbecoming a member of the clergy.
1990-10-22	The Province II Court of Review reversed and dismissed the charges.

Public-record limits

Historical proceeding under the pre-July 2011 framework. The case began in the 1980s and is included here for its 1990 appellate disposition. The trial conviction was reversed and the charges dismissed.

Sources

1. Province II Court of Review Reverses Conviction of Newark Priest. Episcopal News Service · 1990-10-31. Reports the reversal, insufficient proof, evidentiary errors and dismissal; the dateline identifies October 22 as the decision date.

2. Papers of Katrina Martha Swanson, 1888–2008. Harvard Library, Schlesinger Library · Undated. Archival description documents the fire, insurance dispute, church prosecution and eventual dismissal.

3. Trials: Province II Court of Review records. The Archives of the Episcopal Church · Undated. Official catalogue identifies the Swanson appellate record, 1989–1990.

NEW JERSEY / AW-021

Walter Righter: heresy charges dismissed

Subject: Walter C. Righter · **Role:** Retired bishop serving in Newark

Setting: Ordination in the Diocese of Newark. **Diocese:** Diocese of Newark; retired bishop of Iowa

Category: Doctrine and ordination; historical disciplinary proceeding

Conduct / complaint period: 1990

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Charges dismissed.

Status date: 1996-05-15

What was reported

Righter was charged after ordaining an openly gay man in a committed relationship to the diaconate in 1990. An ecclesiastical court dismissed the charges in May 1996, finding that the ordination violated neither church law nor core doctrine. This historical case illustrates why a disciplinary index must preserve favorable outcomes and distinguish doctrinal disputes from abuse. It alleges no sexual abuse by Righter and does not classify the ordinand's relationship as misconduct.

Response

Righter defended the ordination; all charges were dismissed.

Publicly reported chronology

1990	Ordination that later became the subject of charges.
1995	Charges brought by fellow bishops.
1996-05-15	Ecclesiastical court dismissed charges.

Public-record limits

Historical proceeding under the pre-July 2011 Title IV framework. Neither gay identity nor a same-sex relationship is classified here as sexual abuse.

Sources

1. Court dismisses charges against Bishop Walter Righter. Episcopal News Service · 1996-05-23. Contemporaneous report of dismissal and the court's reasoning.

2. Walter Righter archival authority record. Archives of the Episcopal Church · Undated. Confirms historical context and dismissal of all charges.

3. Retired Iowa Bishop Walter Righter dies. Episcopal News Service · 2011-09-12. Later account confirms the 1996 dismissal.

NEW JERSEY / AW-092

New Jersey: Gideon Uzomechina's allegations against diocesan and vestry leadership

Subject: William Stokes, Brian Jemmott and related New Jersey church leadership · **Role:** Bishop, canon and three vestry members alleged in the complaint

Setting: Diocesan leadership and parishes in New Brunswick and Plainfield. **Diocese:** Diocese of New Jersey

Category: Alleged racial discrimination, false accusations, conspiracy and employment retaliation

Conduct / complaint period: Dates not fully specified in the complaint; events following Stokes's 2013 accession

Source basis: Civil judicial opinion; official diocesan disciplinary register for the separate respondent-side context

Connection to Title IV: Uzomechina's own clergy discipline is documented. This entry includes his civil allegations that episcopal and other leaders manufactured the accusations and retaliated. A separate Title IV proceeding against those leaders is not established.

Companion inclusion concerns alleged handling of Uzomechina's discipline; the claims against leaders were civil pleadings, not church findings.

Latest known outcome	Federal dismissal on ministerial-exception grounds. No later state-court disposition was established.

Status date: 2024-09-26

What was reported

Gideon Uzomechina alleged that Bishop Stokes, Canon Jemmott and three vestry members manufactured sexual and financial misconduct accusations because of his race. He also alleged that diocesan statements harmed his later employment. The federal opinion recounts these as pleading allegations, not findings. Separately, the diocese's disciplinary register records Uzomechina's own 2020 deposition; that proceeding is distinct from this entry's allegations against leadership.

Response

The diocesan defendants sought dismissal under the ministerial exception. Their published disciplinary record provides material context for Uzomechina's challenge.

Publicly reported chronology

2020-03-29	Diocesan register dates Uzomechina's separate deposition.
2024-01-18	Initial civil complaint dismissed; amendment followed.
2024-09-26	Federal claim dismissed with prejudice; court declined jurisdiction over remaining state claims.

Public-record limits

Dismissal does not decide the truth of these accusations. The entry does not accuse every vestry member or classify Uzomechina's own discipline as a proceeding against Stokes or Jemmott.

Sources

1. Uzomechina v. Episcopal Diocese of New Jersey, No. 23-2914. U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey; reproduced by HallApproved · 2024-09-26. Summarizes the amended allegations, defense and dismissal.

2. Uzomechina civil docket. Justia Dockets · 2023-05-29; docket excerpt updated 2024-09-26. Identifies the filing date and parties; not a complete current docket.

3. Clergy misconduct and discipline: Gideon Uzomechina documents. Diocese of New Jersey · 2020-03-29 deposition; page undated. Official indexed register lists the separate 2019–2020 process and deposition. The full linked instruments were not reviewed here.

NEW YORK / AW-080

David G. Bollinger: financial allegations dismissed

Subject: David G. Bollinger · **Role:** Rector

Setting: St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Owego. **Diocese:** Central New York

Category: Financial allegations; alleged retaliation; dismissed church case

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged financial irregularities investigated in 2005–2007

Connection to Title IV: The official archive identifies Bollinger's historical discipline; contemporary reporting describes dismissal and his allegation of retaliatory handling by Bishop Gladstone Adams. A separate completed Title IV case against Adams is not established.

Latest known outcome	The consulted contemporary account reports dismissal of the financial case in July 2007. No later reversal of that dismissal was located.

Status date: 2007-07-17

What was reported

The Diocese of Central New York accused Bollinger of financial misconduct and inhibited him. He denied the allegations and said the investigation retaliated against his reporting of sexual abuse allegations concerning a previous rector. Contemporary church reporting documented both the financial accusation and the dispute over the diocese's response to the earlier abuse report. A July 2007 account reported that the ecclesiastical court dismissed the financial case after excluding late diocesan evidence and finding the remaining case insufficient. The national church's archive separately identifies and preserves the Bollinger disciplinary case.

Response

Bollinger maintained that the financial accusations were false and sued the bishop and diocese for alleged retaliation. Bishop Gladstone Adams said the abuse complainant had not met the diocesan investigators; The Living Church also reported the diocese's position that the abuse evidence was insufficient.

Publicly reported chronology

2005	Bollinger was inhibited amid allegations of financial malfeasance.
2006-01-19	ENS carried Associated Press reporting on Bollinger's civil retaliation suit.
2007-07-17	Stone of Witness reported that the church court dismissed the case after excluding late evidence and finding that the diocese had not proved its case.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 disciplinary framework. The dismissal details come from an openly sympathetic contemporary account; the underlying judgment was not retrieved. The archive confirms a formal case, not the truth of the original allegations or of the retaliation claim. The sexual allegations discussed here concerned a different priest.

Sources

1. Legal proceedings explained to clergy. The Living Church · 2005-11-13. Contemporary report of financial allegations, inhibition and the diocese's response to the earlier abuse report.

2. Diocesan Digest: Central New York priest sues diocese, claims retaliation. Episcopal News Service / Associated Press · 2006-01-19. Reports Bollinger's denial, retaliation allegation and the bishop's response.

3. Halleluia! It is Finished!. Stone of Witness · 2007-07-17. Sympathetic contemporary account reporting dismissal and the court's treatment of late evidence.

4. Ecclesiastical Discipline. The Archives of the Episcopal Church · Undated. Official selective archive identifies Diocese of Central New York v. the Rev. David G. Bollinger.

NEW YORK / AW-081

J. Edward Putnam: admitted abuse of minors and 20-year suspension

Subject: J. Edward (James Edward) Putnam · **Role:** Rector during the reported abuse; later cathedral dean and parish priest

Setting: St. James Episcopal Church, Skaneateles. **Diocese:** Diocese of Central New York; later ministry in Albany and other dioceses

Category: Admitted sexual misconduct involving four adolescent boys

Conduct / complaint period: 1986–1993

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Documented episcopal investigation, signed disciplinary terms and formal suspension notice.

Latest known outcome	A 20-year suspension was imposed in 2007. Putnam died in September 2016, before that original term would have expired.

Status date: 2016-09-29

What was reported

After a former parishioner complained, a diocesan investigation identified four men who described abuse while adolescents at St. James. Church officials said Putnam admitted inappropriate conduct with minors in a written statement and signed disciplinary terms. Bishop Gladstone Adams imposed a 20-year suspension. The allegations concerned Putnam's Skaneateles tenure; Albany officials separately stated that they knew of no complaints from his later service at their cathedral.

Response

The diocese reported a written admission. Putnam did not respond to the Post-Standard's request for comment in its initial report.

Publicly reported chronology

2007-05-13	Diocese received the initial complaint.
2007-05-15	Bishop restricted priestly ministry during investigation.
2007-07-23	Twenty-year suspension took effect.
2007-11	Ohio's convention journal recorded notice of his temporary inhibition and sentence of suspension.
2016-09-28	Putnam died, according to his published obituary.

Public-record limits

No criminal outcome is asserted. Albany's reported absence of local complaints concerned that later setting, not exoneration of the Skaneateles conduct.

Sources

1. Episcopal priest admits to abuse in Skaneateles. The Post-Standard; archived by BishopAccountability.org · 2007-08-14. Reports the admission, investigation, dates and suspension terms.

2. Clergy abuse viewed as isolated. Albany Times Union; archived by BishopAccountability.org · 2007-08-16. Reports the four affidavits and Albany's separate response about cathedral service.

3. Journal of the 191st Annual Convention of the Episcopal Diocese of Ohio. Diocese of Ohio · 2007-11. Records James Edward Putnam's temporary inhibition and sentence of suspension.

4. J. Edward Putnam obituary. The Providence Journal · 2016-09-29. Supplies the date of death.

NEW YORK / AW-114

Ginger Strickland / Solaqua: adult relationship complaint

Subject: Virginia (Ginger) Strickland, later Solaqua · **Role:** Episcopal ordinand serving a nondenominational Paris church; subsequently ordained in 2011 and a curate in Manhattan

Setting: American Church in Paris, France (alleged conduct); Church of the Incarnation, Manhattan (subsequent ministry). **Diocese:** Convocation of Episcopal Churches in Europe; New York received the complaint

Category: Alleged adult pastoral-boundary violation; disputed complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: Relationship ended in 2011; start date not established in the reviewed sources

Source basis: Publicly reproduced complainant letter and bishops' statement; AW retrospective; official parish biography

Connection to Title IV: Campano's published July 2012 letter identifies Title IV complaints against Strickland and Whalon; bishops confirmed receipt and referral. The reported complaint against Whalon concerned handling. The favorable findings described here concern Strickland; a separate Whalon disposition was not established.

Latest known outcome	The preliminary inquiry found no breach; the contemporary blog later reported full exoneration. No final decision instrument or later disciplinary action was located. The case is not described as pending.

Status date: 2012-07-09 preliminary response; later exoneration update undated

What was reported

Erik Campano complained that his adult relationship with Strickland, then an Episcopal ordinand serving at the nondenominational American Church in Paris, violated church sexual-boundary rules. AW reported that her local supervisor had approved the relationship but maintained that it conflicted with Episcopal policy. It also alleged that Bishop Pierre Whalon impeded the complaint and proceeded with her ordination while it remained unresolved. The church's published response rejected a canonical breach.

Response

New York bishops said Strickland had disclosed the relationship to her bishop and supervisors, met her canonical obligations, and remained in good standing. No separate personal response was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2011	According to the bishops' later statement, the relationship ended and Strickland moved to Incarnation in Manhattan; Campano subsequently complained.
2012-07-09	New York bishops announced their preliminary conclusion of no canonical breach and said the investigation had been referred to the presiding bishop's offices.
2012-07-11	In a public letter reproduced the next day, Campano maintained his Title IV allegations against Strickland and Whalon.

Public-record limits

The bishops' statement is available as a contemporary reproduction, not an original diocesan posting. The blog's broader exoneration statement is not accompanied by decisions. The relationship reportedly began before ordination in France; New York identifies the complaint and subsequent ministry.

Disclosure. Campano's published letter is a participant's account. AW's later article advocates his position. No AW participation in filing or adjudicating this complaint was established in the reviewed sources.

Sources

1. Bishops' July 9 statement and later exoneration update. Jane Griffiths, reproducing the New York bishops' statement · 2012-07-10; reproduced statement dated 2012-07-09; update undated. Reproduces the bishops' preliminary finding of no canonical breach and support for continued ministry; a later blog update reports full exoneration without attaching decisions.

2. Campano's public letter identifying Title IV complaints. Erik Campano, reproduced by Jane Griffiths · 2012-07-12; letter dated 2012-07-11. Complainant identifies complaints against Strickland and Whalon and maintains that Title IV was violated.

3. AW retrospective on the Campano complaint. *Anglican Watch* · 2022-11-17; updated 2023-04-05. Reports the relationship and alleged obstruction; corrects an earlier clergy-directory assertion and identifies the later surname Solaqua.

4. Official introduction of Virginia (Ginger) Solaqua. Church of Our Redeemer, Lexington · 2021-12-14. Confirms the Solaqua name, 2011 ordination, and matching Paris–New York–Ross ministry history; does not discuss the complaint.

NEW YORK / AW-024

William Love: prohibition of authorized marriage rites

Subject: William H. Love · **Role:** Bishop diocesan

Setting: Diocesan episcopal office. **Diocese:** Diocese of Albany

Category: Canonical compliance; ordination vows; authorized worship

Conduct / complaint period: 2018

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by accord following hearing-panel finding; resignation as diocesan bishop required.

Status date: 2020-10-21

What was reported

Love's Title IV case arose from his direction prohibiting clergy in the Diocese of Albany from using same-sex marriage rites authorized by General Convention. A hearing panel found a canonical violation in October 2020. Before a final order, Love and the presiding bishop reached an accord requiring his resignation as diocesan bishop effective February 1, 2021. This entry concerns canonical compliance and episcopal authority, not an allegation of sexual abuse.

Response

Love contested the canonical basis for the charge; he later entered the accord.

Publicly reported chronology

2018-11-10	Love issued the pastoral direction at issue.
2019-01-11	Presiding bishop restricted aspects of Love's ministry.
2020-10-02	Hearing panel found a canonical violation.
2020-10-21	Accord approved, providing for resignation effective February 1, 2021.

Public-record limits

The finding and accord are ecclesiastical actions. Disagreement over marriage rites is not classified here as sexual misconduct.

Sources

1. Decision on cross-motions for summary judgment. Title IV Hearing Panel · 2020-10-02. Sets out the canonical dispute and hearing decision.

2. Presiding bishop and Albany bishop reach accord. Episcopal Church Office of Public Affairs · 2020-10-24. Confirms the accord and its principal terms.

NEW YORK / AW-026

Prince Singh: family abuse and misrepresentation allegations

Subject: Prince Singh · **Role:** Former bishop of Rochester and former bishop provisional in Michigan

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Rochester; former provisional ministry in Michigan. **Diocese:** Rochester; Eastern Michigan and Western Michigan

Category: Domestic abuse; alleged dishonesty

Conduct / complaint period: Family alleged conduct over many years, including the sons' childhoods

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by accord; suspension for at least three additional years announced in December 2024. Separate process-related agreement announced July 2025.

Status date: 2025-07-25

What was reported

Singh's family alleged physical and verbal abuse, excessive drinking, and false public accounts of the breakdown of his marriage. Singh disputed the allegations. A December 2024 accord resolved this matter and a separate Rochester complaint, imposing at least three additional years of suspension and assessment, training, and reconciliation requirements. A July 2025 announcement addressed the family's concerns about the process through a separate agreement whose terms were not disclosed.

Response

Denied the allegations while accepting the accord and expressing regret for his family's pain.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-06	Family allegations became public.
2024-12-13	Accord announced.
2025-07-25	Separate agreement concerning the family's process concerns announced.

Public-record limits

The accord is not treated as an admission. No subsequent modification of the published suspension terms was located.

Related records: AW-057, AW-028.

Sources

1. Presiding bishop's announcement of the Singh accord. The Episcopal Church · 2024-12-13. Describes both allegation groups, the bishop's denial, and the accord's suspension and remedial terms.

2. Singh accord and the two underlying cases. Episcopal News Service · 2024-12-14. Reports the allegations, documentary history, accord, and responses.

3. Statement on behalf of Prince Singh. Scott A. Smith, counsel for Prince Singh · 2024-12-14. Sets out Singh's denial and acceptance of the accord.

4. Presiding bishop and Singh family announce resolution of concerns. The Episcopal Church · 2025-07-25. Announces a separate confidential agreement about handling of the family's complaints.

NEW YORK / AW-027

Tom Ferguson: impaired-driving allegation and public Title IV investigation

Subject: Tom Ferguson · **Role:** Canon for transitions and church development

Setting: Diocesan staff. **Diocese:** Diocese of Central New York

Category: Alleged impaired driving; clergy fitness; publicly announced investigation

Conduct / complaint period: 2024-01-06

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Investigation and restriction publicly announced in 2024; later church outcome not established.

Status date: 2024-01-10

What was reported

The Diocese of Central New York announced that Ferguson had been arrested for driving while intoxicated after a crash. The bishop placed him on administrative leave, opened a Title IV investigation, and issued a direction restricting priestly ministry. The announcement said no one else was injured. A later *Anglican Watch* review found no public resolution. This entry records the announced investigation and does not infer that the restriction remains in force today.

Response

The diocesan announcement included Ferguson's request for prayers for himself and his family. No detailed merits response was established in the reviewed sources.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-01-06	Crash and arrest, according to the bishop's January 10 announcement.
2024-01-10	Bishop announced administrative leave, a Title IV investigation, and inhibition.
2026-10-22	*Anglican Watch* reported inability to locate a public resolution.

Public-record limits

The 2024 restriction is not verified as current. Arrest is not a conviction; the cited sources do not establish a criminal disposition.

Sources

1. An important announcement from Bishop DeDe. Diocese of Central New York · 2024-01-10. Primary announcement of incident, leave, investigation, and restriction.

2. Title IV transparency: Because apparently you have to ask. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-22. Reports the gap in public follow-up information; does not establish ongoing restriction.

NEW YORK / AW-028

Prince Singh: Rochester authority and complaint-handling allegations

Subject: Prince Singh · **Role:** Bishop of Rochester during the reported conduct

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Rochester. **Diocese:** Rochester

Category: Abuse of authority; retaliation; handling of misconduct reports; alleged improper touching

Conduct / complaint period: 2017–2018 incidents; broader concerns during episcopacy

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by the December 2024 accord imposing suspension and remedial requirements.

Status date: 2024-12-13

What was reported

Rochester complainants alleged intimidation, public humiliation, and interference with misconduct reporting. Published case coverage describes alleged failure to refer a priest's sexual-misconduct report and alleged threats surrounding a report of improper touching by Singh. He disputed the allegations; an earlier diocesan process had cleared him of wrongdoing on the touching matter. The later churchwide proceedings ended through the December 2024 accord shared with the family case.

Response

Disputed the allegations; the published record also describes an earlier favorable diocesan disposition.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-06-26	Information provided to the designated intake officer.
2024-06-07	Rochester matter referred to a hearing panel.
2024-12-12	Disciplinary board approved the accord.

Public-record limits

The reviewed record does not permit a more specific description of the redacted touching allegation. Oversight allegations and alleged personal conduct are distinguished.

Related records: AW-057, AW-026.

Sources

1. Rochester Title IV chronology. The Episcopal Church · 2024-12. Records referral, investigation, hearing-panel referral, and accord approval.

2. Singh accord and the two underlying cases. Episcopal News Service · 2024-12-14. Reports the allegations, documentary history, accord, and responses.

3. Statement on behalf of Prince Singh. Scott A. Smith, counsel for Prince Singh · 2024-12-14. Sets out Singh's denial and acceptance of the accord.

4. Presiding bishop's announcement of the Singh accord. The Episcopal Church · 2024-12-13. Describes both allegation groups, the bishop's denial, and the accord's suspension and remedial terms.

NEW YORK / AW-029

DeDe Duncan-Probe: academic-credentials complaint

Subject: DeDe Duncan-Probe · **Role:** Bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Central New York. **Diocese:** Central New York

Category: Credentials and representations

Conduct / complaint period: Public descriptions of academic credentials; specific dates not stated

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Last documented stage: referred for investigation. A later disposition was not located in this review.

Status date: 2024-06-13

What was reported

An anonymous complaint challenged Duncan-Probe's description of her academic qualifications. She disputed the allegation, explained that the Graduate Theological Foundation awarded her doctorate, and said she had provided supporting records. The official disclosure recorded an investigation referral. Her expectation that the complaint would be dismissed was a response to the allegation, not a published disposition. This entry remains open only as a research question about the later outcome.

Response

Disputed misrepresentation; said documentation substantiated her doctorate.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-05-05	Anonymous report received.
2024-05-22	Referred for investigation.
2024-06-13	Official disclosure and bishop's public response.

Public-record limits

An older investigation referral does not establish that a case remains pending today.

Related records: AW-030.

Sources

1. Disclosure of five Title IV matters involving presiding bishop candidates. The Episcopal Church · 2024-06-13. Official disclosure identifying the complaints and their procedural dispositions.

2. Duncan-Probe statement on the Title IV process. Episcopal Diocese of Central New York · 2024-06-13. The bishop disputes the credential allegation and describes the documentation she supplied.

3. Three presiding bishop candidates have faced Title IV disciplinary claims. Episcopal News Service · 2024-06-13. Reports the complaints, diocesan context, and responses from the bishops.

NEW YORK / AW-030

DeDe Duncan-Probe: discernment complaint dismissed

Subject: DeDe Duncan-Probe · **Role:** Bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Central New York. **Diocese:** Central New York

Category: Ordination discernment and use of authority

Conduct / complaint period: Before December 2023; precise dates not publicly specified

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Dismissed; dismissal upheld on appeal.

Status date: 2024-03-21

What was reported

A complainant challenged exclusion from the discernment process and the requirement to direct further correspondence to the chancellor. The intake officer dismissed the complaint, and the disciplinary board president upheld that result on appeal. This is a separate matter from the academic-credentials complaint. Its inclusion records a publicly disclosed disciplinary process and its favorable disposition; it does not establish misconduct.

Response

The bishop's June 2024 statement acknowledged a previous complaint and its dismissal.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-12-20	Report received.
2024-02-20	Intake dismissal.
2024-03-21	Dismissal upheld on appeal.

Public-record limits

The reviewed disclosure does not publish the underlying complaint or a full reasoned dismissal.

Related records: AW-029.

Sources

1. Disclosure of five Title IV matters involving presiding bishop candidates. The Episcopal Church · 2024-06-13. Official disclosure identifying the complaints and their procedural dispositions.

2. Duncan-Probe statement on the Title IV process. Episcopal Diocese of Central New York · 2024-06-13. The bishop disputes the credential allegation and describes the documentation she supplied.

NEW YORK / AW-031

Mark Schultz, William Davis and Erich Erving: adult-assault allegations

Subject: Mark Schultz, William Davis and Erich Erving · **Role:** Priest, former lay employee, and priest's spouse, respectively

Setting: Saint Thomas Church Fifth Avenue. **Diocese:** New York

Category: Alleged adult sexual assault / clergy and lay conduct

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged incidents in 2024-2025

Source basis: Civil-complaint reporting; parish statement; later reporting

Connection to Title IV: Published account of a Title IV complaint and initial dismissal concerning Schultz

Latest known outcome	*Anglican Watch* reports an initial Title IV dismissal on February 12, 2025. The parish announced civil withdrawal with prejudice on December 19, 2025; its current directory lists Schultz.

Status date: 2025-12-19 civil announcement; directory checked 2026-11-15

What was reported

An adult parishioner alleged unwanted sexual contact by Schultz, Davis and Erving. Reporting also described alleged retaliation after he complained. The parish statement expressly said the lawsuit did not allege sexual abuse of a minor.

Response

The accused denied the allegations. In December 2025 the parish said its investigators found no evidence substantiating them; *Anglican Watch* disputes that account.

Publicly reported chronology

2025-02-12	Initial complaint against Schultz dismissed, according to *Anglican Watch* and its reproduced notice.
2025-09	Parish announced an outside inquiry and administrative leave; these steps are distinct from a final Title IV judgment.
2025-12-19	Parish announced that investigators had not substantiated the allegations and that the civil suit was withdrawn with prejudice.

Public-record limits

Schultz is the clergy respondent identified in the Title IV account. Davis and Erving are lay subjects, not Title IV respondents. Later or reopened disciplinary outcomes were not established. Civil withdrawal is not a trial verdict. The parish denied any settlement; *Anglican Watch* reports an insurer payment. The complete 2025 investigation report was not reviewed.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* has advocated for the complainant and filed related complaints about the handling of this matter. Its accounts are those of an involved publisher.

Related records: AW-032, AW-033.

Sources

1. Reported initial Schultz complaint and dismissal. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-07-20. Reports a February 12, 2025 Title IV dismissal concerning Schultz and reproduces redacted notices.

2. Civil complaint and institutional responses. amNewYork · 2025-09-24. Describes the adult complainant's allegations and church/diocesan statements.

3. Rector and wardens' statement. Saint Thomas Church, reproduced by Anglican Ink · 2025-09-19. Records denials, leave and commissioning of an inquiry; says allegations involve an adult.

4. Rector and wardens announce civil withdrawal and inquiry result. Saint Thomas Church, reproduced by Anglican Ink · 2025-12-19. Says investigators did not substantiate the allegations, the suit was withdrawn with prejudice, and no settlement or payment occurred.

5. Later account of the civil disposition. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-28; updated 2026-11-09. Reports withdrawal with prejudice and identifies the disputed settlement accounts.

6. Current parish directory. Saint Thomas Church · Checked 2026-11-15. Lists Schultz as associate for pastoral care; does not determine the truth of allegations.

NEW YORK / AW-032

Saint Thomas Fifth Avenue: alleged retaliation and complaint handling

Subject: Carl Turner, Matthew Moretz and related parish/diocesan response · **Role:** Clergy leadership; wardens and vestry as reported recipients of concerns

Setting: Saint Thomas Church Fifth Avenue; Diocese of New York. **Diocese:** New York

Category: Alleged retaliation / defamation / failure to respond

Conduct / complaint period: 2025

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* complaint reporting; civil-complaint coverage

Connection to Title IV: *Anglican Watch* reports Title IV complaints, dismissals and disputed parish/diocesan handling. A separate complaint against an individual bishop is not established here; lay recipients of concerns are not thereby Title IV respondents.

Latest known outcome	*Anglican Watch* reported dismissal of the Moretz complaint on January 13, 2026, and the Turner complaint on January 24, 2026. A separate settlement-statements complaint was later reported dismissed and appealed.

Status date: 2026-01-24; separate appeal reported 2026-02-24

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported Title IV complaints alleging that Turner and Moretz mishandled an adult's sexual-assault report and retaliated. Civil reporting also described allegations that diocesan communications portrayed the complainant as dangerous.

Response

Parish leaders said they followed safeguarding practices and commissioned an outside inquiry. In December 2025 they said investigators did not substantiate the assault allegations. *Anglican Watch* disputes the response and the dismissals.

Publicly reported chronology

2025	*Anglican Watch* reported complaints about handling and alleged retaliation.
2026-01-13	Publication reported dismissal of its complaint against Moretz and linked a redacted notice.
2026-01-24	Publication reported written notice dismissing its complaint against Turner.

Public-record limits

These are publicly reported Title IV dispositions, not independently reviewed findings on every allegation. The civil withdrawal is separate. Wardens and vestry members are not Title IV respondents merely because they received concerns.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* filed the reported complaints. Editor Eric Bonetti was identified in the publication’s account as a prospective witness. This is an involved publisher’s account.

Related records: AW-031, AW-033.

Sources

1. Reported complaints and handling concerns. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-09-19. Reports the publication's complaints against Turner and Moretz and alleged delayed response.

2. Outside coverage of the civil allegations. amNewYork · 2025-09-24. Includes the alleged retaliation and the church/diocese's responses.

3. Later account of the civil disposition. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-28; updated 2026-11-09. Reports withdrawal with prejudice and identifies the disputed settlement accounts.

4. Reported dismissal of Moretz complaint. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-01-13. Reports dismissal and links a redacted notice; disputes the diocesan handling.

5. Reported dismissal of Turner complaint. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-01-24. Describes the allegations and written dismissal notice received by the publisher.

6. Rector and wardens announce civil withdrawal and inquiry result. Saint Thomas Church, reproduced by Anglican Ink · 2025-12-19. Says investigators did not substantiate the allegations, the suit was withdrawn with prejudice, and no settlement or payment occurred.

NEW YORK / AW-089

St. Peter's, Cazenovia: alleged spiritual abuse and exclusion

Subject: Rebecca Roberts and related diocesan handling · **Role:** Rector; related diocesan counsel and intake response

Setting: St. Peter's Episcopal Church, Cazenovia. **Diocese:** Diocese of Central New York

Category: Alleged spiritual abuse, exclusion, confidentiality breaches and deficient complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: 2025

Source basis: *Anglican Watch*'s account of a named complainant; published redacted counsel letter; advocacy press release

Connection to Title IV: Reported clergy complaint and intake dismissal. *Anglican Watch* publicly criticized Bishop DeDe Duncan-Probe's handling and reported a separate complaint against the intake officer. Its announced intention to complain against the bishop is not proof of a filed bishop-level case.

Latest known outcome	Complaint against Roberts reportedly dismissed. No later reversal or merits determination was located; the publication separately challenged the intake officer's handling.

Status date: 2025-10-20

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported Win Limmer's allegations that Roberts spiritually abused and excluded her, breached confidence, and characterized her contacts as threatening or harassing. Diocesan counsel subsequently restricted contact and participation. Limmer's Title IV complaint was reportedly dismissed. *Anglican Watch* said it warned Bishop DeDe Duncan-Probe that the proposed dismissal improperly made adverse factual findings, then challenged the intake officer's handling in a separate complaint. These are disputed allegations; a separate filing against the bishop was not established.

Response

A July 28, 2025 letter from diocesan counsel Jonathan Fellows and Martha Berry cited repeated concerns about Limmer's conduct and contact, imposed restrictions and warned of trespass enforcement. It also left open reconciliation through a meeting with the bishop. Those assertions are the church's stated rationale, not findings adopted here.

Publicly reported chronology

2025-07-28	Diocesan counsel issued a letter restricting contact and participation, with reconciliation through the bishop still contemplated.
2025-08-16	*Anglican Watch* published Limmer's account and reported a Title IV complaint.
2025-10-20	*Anglican Watch* reported dismissal and announced its own separate complaint against intake officer Julie Calhoun-Bryant.

Public-record limits

The complainant and diocesan counsel give conflicting accounts. A reported intake dismissal does not establish that Limmer's account was false. Her sexual orientation alone does not establish discriminatory motive, so this entry does not label the dispute anti-LGBTQ discrimination.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* supported the complainant and filed a separate complaint about the intake response. Its reporting and press release reflect that involvement.

Sources

1. What on earth is going on with St. Peter's Episcopal (Cazenovia NY) and its rector, Rebecca Roberts?. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-08-16; updated 2025-11-02. Presents Limmer's allegations, the proposed meeting and the exclusion dispute.

2. Diocesan counsel's letter to Win Limmer. Counsel for the Diocese of Central New York; redacted copy hosted by *Anglican Watch* · 2025-07-28. Primary correspondence states the church's concerns, restrictions and reconciliation option.

3. Press release concerning Bishop DeDe Duncan-Probe and the Central New York disciplinary response. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-10-20. Reports the intake dismissal and the publication's separate complaint against the intake officer.

NEW YORK / AW-033

Carl Turner: complaint over disputed settlement statements

Subject: Carl Turner · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Saint Thomas Church Fifth Avenue. **Diocese:** New York

Category: Alleged dishonesty / disciplinary-process dispute

Conduct / complaint period: 2026

Source basis: *Anglican Watch*'s account as complainant

Connection to Title IV: Complainant-publication account of dismissal and appeal

Latest known outcome	Intake dismissal and appeal reported; no later appeal outcome located.

Status date: 2026-02-24

What was reported

Anglican Watch alleged that Turner's statement that the assault litigation ended without a settlement was misleading because an insurer had paid. The publication reported that intake dismissed its complaint and that it appealed.

Response

The rector and wardens publicly maintained that there had been no settlement or payments. *Anglican Watch* disputes this, alleging an insurer-funded payment.

Public-record limits

The competing descriptions of the payment are unresolved in this index. A complaint by the publisher is not independent adjudication.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* is a reported complainant in this Title IV matter.

Related records: AW-031, AW-032.

Sources

1. Publisher's account of dismissal and appeal. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-02-24. Reports the alleged insurer payment, intake dismissal and appeal.

2. Later account of the civil disposition. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10-28; updated 2026-11-09. Reports withdrawal with prejudice and identifies the disputed settlement accounts.

3. Rector and wardens announce civil withdrawal and inquiry result. Saint Thomas Church, reproduced by Anglican Ink · 2025-12-19. Says investigators did not substantiate the allegations, the suit was withdrawn with prejudice, and no settlement or payment occurred.

OHIO / AW-034

Daniel McClain: adultery finding and partially reversed misconduct findings

Subject: Daniel W. McClain · **Role:** Priest; former rector

Setting: St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Oakwood. **Diocese:** Diocese of Southern Ohio

Category: Adultery; alleged bullying and retaliation; parish governance; disputed disciplinary procedure

Conduct / complaint period: 2020–2024

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Deposed on September 16, 2026. The Court of Review's earlier partial reversal remains material: the adultery-related findings and deposition recommendation stood, while broader findings were reversed and other charges treated as dismissed.

Status date: 2026-09-18

What was reported

McClain's case included allegations about parish governance, an unauthorized exorcism, finances, retaliation, and an extramarital relationship. The hearing panel recommended deposition. In August 2026, the Court of Review upheld the adultery and fidelity findings and the deposition recommendation, but reversed broader adverse findings that lacked a proper evidentiary hearing. Other charges were treated as dismissed. *Anglican Watch* and its editor brought the complaint; that involvement is disclosed here.

Response

McClain denied broader wrongdoing and appealed. His counsel argued that the panel denied him a fair hearing and that his relationship began after his marriage had broken down.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-02-01	Diocese publicly announced hearing-panel proceedings and published allegations.
2025-11	Hearing panel recommended deposition.
2026-06-23	Court of Review heard oral arguments.
2026-08	Court of Review affirmed adultery-related findings and deposition recommendation while reversing broader findings and treating other charges as dismissed.
2026-09-16	Bishop Kristin Uffelman White imposed deposition, according to her September 18 announcement of sentence.

Public-record limits

Adultery is not classified as sexual assault. Broader accusations must retain their dismissal or reversal status. *Anglican Watch* and its editor were complainants. The bishop's sentencing notice establishes deposition; it does not restore the broader findings that the appellate court reversed.

Sources

1. Bishop Rivera's hearing-panel update. Diocese of Southern Ohio · 2024-02-01. Officially announces proceedings and links the notice of allegations.

2. Notice of allegations of canonical offenses. Diocese of Southern Ohio church attorney · 2024-02. Primary statement of alleged misconduct.

3. Southern Ohio priest appeals Title IV ruling. Episcopal News Service · 2026-06-24. Reports underlying allegations, denial, and arguments on appeal.

4. Court affirms adultery ruling but strikes broader findings. Anglican Ink · 2026-08-31. Reports partial affirmance, partial reversal, and dismissal of remaining charges.

5. Title IV diocesan information. Diocese of Southern Ohio · Undated. Current page says no pending proceedings; it does not supply final sentencing terms.

6. Announcement of sentence: Daniel McClain. Bishop Kristin Uffelman White, Diocese of Southern Ohio; copy hosted by *Anglican Watch* · 2026-09-18. Primary episcopal notice states that deposition was imposed on September 16, 2026.

OKLAHOMA / AW-035

Ed Konieczny: sexual-harassment complaint concluded without discipline

Subject: Ed Konieczny · **Role:** Retired bishop of Oklahoma

Setting: The Episcopal Church; General Convention. **Diocese:** Diocese of Oklahoma

Category: Alleged sexual harassment and unwanted physical contact

Conduct / complaint period: July 2022

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Closed with a pastoral response and no disciplinary action, as documented in the September 2023 procedural account.

Status date: 2023-09-20

What was reported

The House of Deputies president publicly described unwanted physical contact and inappropriate statements by a retired bishop during the 2022 General Convention. Subsequent reporting identified Konieczny, who denied wrongdoing. Episcopal News Service's account of a presentation to the House of Bishops documents an investigation and two proposed accords rejected by the disciplinary board. The church attorney subsequently recommended a pastoral response in place of disciplinary action, and the case was closed.

Response

Konieczny denied sexual misconduct and inappropriate verbal comments.

Publicly reported chronology

2022-07	Incident alleged and Title IV complaint initiated.
2023-07-25	Church attorney recommended pastoral response in lieu of discipline.
2023-07-31	The bishop acting on behalf of the presiding bishop accepted the recommendation and concluded the case.

Public-record limits

A closed case without discipline is not a factual finding that the allegation was false. The proposed accords were rejected, not executed disciplinary accords.

Sources

1. House of Bishops hears Title IV overview and case timeline. Episcopal News Service · 2023-09-20. Documents the allegation, denial, rejected accords and closure.

2. House of Deputies president describes alleged unwanted contact. Episcopal News Service · 2023-08-31. Records the complainant's public account of the incident and process.

PENNSYLVANIA / AW-083

Graham Pulkingham: admitted pastoral misconduct and suspension

Subject: W. Graham Pulkingham · **Role:** Priest and religious-community leader

Setting: Community of Celebration, Aliquippa; earlier ministry in Houston and the United Kingdom. **Diocese:** Diocese of Pittsburgh

Category: Adult sexual misconduct; alleged pastoral exploitation and admitted abuse of power and trust

Conduct / complaint period: Specific complaint concerned 1978–1982; broader admitted conduct reportedly spanned about two decades

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Documented Episcopal restriction/inhibition and investigation, described contemporaneously as disciplinary action. Inclusion does not imply a completed trial.

Latest known outcome	He died in April 1993 while suspended. No completed church trial or merits judgment is established in the contemporary sources consulted.

Status date: 1993-05-17

What was reported

A woman complained that Pulkingham's sexual relationship with her husband, who had received his counseling, had damaged their marriage. The specific relationship was reported to have occurred while Pulkingham led a religious community in London. Pittsburgh Bishop Alden Hathaway said Pulkingham confirmed the allegations and acknowledged an abuse of power and trust. Contemporary reporting also described his admission of other relationships with adult men, including counselees.

Response

Pulkingham expressed shame and responsibility. Hathaway reported his acknowledgment of the misuse of pastoral power and trust.

Publicly reported chronology

1992-08	Pittsburgh suspended/inhibited priestly functions and community leadership while investigating.
1992-09-14	Christianity Today reported Pulkingham's admission and the continuing investigation.
1993-04-16	Pulkingham died; contemporary reporting said he had remained suspended during the investigation.

Public-record limits

The disciplinary connection is to the U.S. Episcopal Church; the specific 1978–1982 relationship was reported in the United Kingdom. The indexed concern is pastoral conduct and exploitation, not sexual orientation.

Sources

1. Noted priest suspended for misconduct. Episcopal News Service · 1992-08-19. Reports the specific complaint, bishop's account of the admission, suspension and inquiry.

2. Sexual misconduct: Renewal leader Pulkingham admits guilt. Christianity Today · 1992-09-14. Provides Pulkingham's response and the wider reported conduct.

3. News from the North American scene. Christianity Today · 1993-05-17. Reports his April death and suspension during the investigation.

4. Second Stone, November–December 1992. Second Stone; LGBTQ Religious Archives Network · 1992-11. Describes canonical residence and Hathaway's inhibition.

PENNSYLVANIA / AW-036

Charles E. Bennison Jr.: response to abuse; disciplinary judgment reversed

Subject: Charles E. Bennison Jr. · **Role:** Rector during underlying events; later bishop of Pennsylvania

Setting: St. Mark's Episcopal Church, Upland; Diocese of Pennsylvania. **Diocese:** Diocese of Pennsylvania; earlier Diocese of Los Angeles

Category: Failure to protect and disclose; institutional response to sexual abuse

Conduct / complaint period: 1970s handling of abuse; later disclosure and response

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Disciplinary judgment reversed on review; the principal charge was barred by the applicable church limitation period.

Status date: 2010-08-05

What was reported

Charles Bennison faced church charges concerning his response to sexual abuse by his brother John while Charles was rector of St. Mark's in Upland. A church trial court found misconduct and imposed deposition. In 2010 the review court reversed the judgment. It agreed that his response had been improper but held that the principal offense was time-barred. This is an institutional-response record; the cited proceedings did not accuse Charles of personally committing the sexual abuse.

Response

Charles maintained that the charges lacked merit and welcomed the review court's decision.

Publicly reported chronology

2007-10-31	Public announcement of inhibition and pending church trial.
2008-06-25	Trial court found conduct unbecoming a member of the clergy.
2009-02	Trial court imposed final sentence of deposition.
2010-08-04	Review court reversed the judgment; Charles could return to his diocesan office.

Public-record limits

The reversed judgment is not an operative deposition. Charles's alleged failures of protection and disclosure are distinct from John's direct abuse.

Related records: AW-003 (broader index).

Sources

1. Court rules in favor of Charles Bennison. Episcopal News Service · 2010-08-05. Explains reversal and the limitation-period ruling.

2. Court imposes final sentence of deposition. Episcopal News Service · 2009-02-04. Documents the trial-stage judgment subsequently reversed.

3. Bishop's inhibition at diocesan convention. Episcopal News Service · 2007-11-05. Records the public announcement and initial procedural context.

PENNSYLVANIA / AW-037

Donald Davis: abuse disclosures and historical complaint handling

Subject: Donald J. Davis · **Role:** Former diocesan bishop

Setting: Diocese of Northwestern Pennsylvania and its summer camp. **Diocese:** Diocese of Northwestern Pennsylvania

Category: Child sexual abuse; adult harassment and intimidation

Conduct / complaint period: Late 1970s and early 1980s in initial reports; other dates not specified

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Included for the publicly questioned handling by earlier church leaders of reports about Davis. Private restrictions were reported; a formal Title IV adjudication or separate case against those leaders is not established.

Historical complaint handling and private restrictions; a formal Title IV adjudication is not established.

Latest known outcome	Historical allegations publicly acknowledged by the diocese; Davis was already deceased when the 2010 disclosures were made.

Status date: 2010-09-13

What was reported

Bishop Sean Rowe publicly acknowledged reports of childhood sexual abuse by deceased former bishop Davis, including abuse connected with the diocesan summer camp. A September 2010 update described nine women who had reported abuse or intimidation: seven reported childhood abuse and two reported harassment or intimidation as adults. Rowe said earlier church leaders had known of reports and arranged restrictions and counseling privately. The account documents church acknowledgment, not a criminal verdict.

Response

Davis died in 2007. Rowe reported that Davis agreed in 1994 to refrain from priestly and episcopal duties and helped pay counseling costs. In 2010 Rowe questioned why earlier leaders had handled the reports privately; ENS also reported that several victims had requested confidentiality.

Publicly reported chronology

1994	Agreement to refrain from ministry, as subsequently reported by Rowe.
2010-07-11	Rowe publicly acknowledged four reports and invited others to come forward.
2010-09-12	Rowe reported nine women’s accounts, distinguishing childhood abuse from adult harassment and intimidation.

Public-record limits

A formal Title IV adjudication or deposition was not established. The nine reported accounts are not nine adjudicated child-abuse findings.

Sources

1. Bishop asks victims of abusive predecessor to come forward. Episcopal News Service · 2010-07-12. Documents initial disclosures and earlier church handling.

2. Bishop reports further accounts. Episcopal News Service · 2010-09-13. Distinguishes seven childhood accounts from two adult reports.

PENNSYLVANIA / AW-038

Daniel Gutiérrez: handling of a priest's misconduct case

Subject: Daniel Gutiérrez · **Role:** Bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Pennsylvania. **Diocese:** Pennsylvania

Category: Handling of sexual-misconduct allegations

Conduct / complaint period: Underlying priest case described by the diocese as approximately three years old in June 2024

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Officially disclosed Title IV complaint against Gutiérrez concerning his handling of allegations against a diocesan priest. The last verified step is the 2024 investigation referral; later status is not inferred.

Latest known outcome	Last documented stage: referred for investigation. Later outcome not located.

Status date: 2024-06-13

What was reported

A complaint challenged Gutiérrez's handling of sexual-misconduct allegations against a diocesan priest. The bishop denied wrongdoing and said he had acted pastorally toward both the complainant and respondent. This is an allegation about episcopal oversight, not an allegation that Gutiérrez committed sexual misconduct. The last disposition located is the 2024 investigation referral; this review did not establish whether the matter later concluded.

Response

Denied wrongdoing and defended his pastoral response to both parties.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-06-05	Report received.
2024-06-11	Referred for investigation.
2024-06-13	Complaint and bishop's response reported publicly.

Public-record limits

Oversight allegation only; current pending status is not inferred.

Related records: AW-039.

Sources

1. Disclosure of five Title IV matters involving presiding bishop candidates. The Episcopal Church · 2024-06-13. Official disclosure identifying the complaints and their procedural dispositions.

2. Three presiding bishop candidates have faced Title IV disciplinary claims. Episcopal News Service · 2024-06-13. Reports the complaints, diocesan context, and responses from the bishops.

PENNSYLVANIA / AW-039

Daniel Gutiérrez: complaint about discipline and pastoral support dismissed

Subject: Daniel Gutiérrez · **Role:** Bishop

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Pennsylvania. **Diocese:** Pennsylvania

Category: Disciplinary decision and pastoral response

Conduct / complaint period: Before May 2024; exact dates not publicly specified

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Officially disclosed Title IV complaint about the severity of an accord and pastoral support. Dismissed with pastoral response; the diocese identified it as separate from the other Gutiérrez handling complaint.

Latest known outcome	Dismissed with pastoral response.

Status date: 2024-05-28

What was reported

An anonymous complaint criticized an accord as too severe and challenged the pastoral support provided to the affected parish. The reference panel dismissed the matter with pastoral response. The diocese told Episcopal News Service this complaint was unrelated to the separate June 2024 oversight allegation. The two proceedings therefore receive separate entries, with the dismissal displayed alongside the allegation.

Response

The diocese distinguished this matter from the later complaint; the reviewed sources contain no separate detailed merits response.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-05-15	Report received.
2024-05-28	Dismissed with pastoral response.

Public-record limits

No inference of misconduct follows from the complaint or the reference panel's pastoral response.

Related records: AW-038.

Sources

1. Disclosure of five Title IV matters involving presiding bishop candidates. The Episcopal Church · 2024-06-13. Official disclosure identifying the complaints and their procedural dispositions.

2. Three presiding bishop candidates have faced Title IV disciplinary claims. Episcopal News Service · 2024-06-13. Reports the complaints, diocesan context, and responses from the bishops.

3. Title IV cases disclosed before the presiding bishop election. The Living Church · 2024-06-13. Reports the separate complaints, dismissal, and diocesan responses.

SOUTH CAROLINA / AW-084

Antoine Lamont Campbell: adultery acquittal and later withdrawn charges

Subject: Antoine Lamont Campbell · **Role:** South Carolina priest and Virginia suffragan bishop-elect

Setting: Diocese of Virginia; St. James' Church, Texas. **Diocese:** Diocese of South Carolina; election in Virginia; later call in Texas

Category: Alleged adultery and separate sexual harassment allegations; acquittal and dropped presentments

Conduct / complaint period: Allegations made in 1993–1994; underlying conduct dates not established in these sources

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Expressly documented Episcopal ecclesiastical court and presentments.

Latest known outcome	The reported outcome was acquittal on the initial adultery charge, withdrawal from the bishop-election process, and later dropping of the remaining presentments. He received a subsequent Texas parish call.

Status date: 1994-08

What was reported

After Campbell's election as a suffragan bishop in Virginia, an adultery allegation produced a South Carolina church trial. He was acquitted. Separate sexual harassment allegations followed, including a woman priest's complaint. Virginia's standing committee conducted its own inquiry and asked him to relinquish the election. The later presentments and their disposition must be kept distinct from the initial acquittal: The Witness subsequently reported that the remaining charges were dropped in May 1994.

Response

St. James' representatives defended Campbell's innocence. The Witness published a correction confirming that Texas Bishop Maurice Benitez had informed parish decision-makers about the pending charges before the call.

Publicly reported chronology

1993-05	Elected a suffragan bishop of Virginia.
1993-10	Acquitted in the first ecclesiastical presentment.
1993-11-04	Relinquished the Virginia election.
1994-03-17	Inhibited in South Carolina while additional presentments were pending.
1994-05	Remaining charges reported dropped.

Public-record limits

The sources do not establish a misconduct finding. Withdrawal of allegations does not itself establish that the complainants acted falsely or maliciously.

Sources

1. General Convention will respond to shock waves sent by cases of sexual misconduct. Episcopal News Service · 1994-06-15. Summarizes the adultery acquittal and Virginia election withdrawal.

2. The Witness: correction and chronology of the Campbell matter. The Witness · 1994-08. Updates the later presentments, reports their withdrawal, and corrects reporting about the Texas call.

3. Past history of the Diocese of Virginia. Diocese of Virginia · Undated. Dates the November 4, 1993 resignation of the election and notes the subsequent Texas call.

TENNESSEE / AW-041

Brad Whitaker: repeated complaints and 2025 deposition

Subject: Brad Whitaker · **Role:** Former rector

Setting: St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Chattanooga. **Diocese:** Diocese of East Tennessee

Category: Adult conduct and digital-boundary allegations; alleged misrepresentation

Conduct / complaint period: 2019 and later complaint received in February 2025; precise conduct dates withheld

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Deposition announced in the diocese's April 14, 2025 letter.

Status date: 2025-04-14

What was reported

The diocese disclosed a 2019 complaint about inappropriate digital communications with an adult parishioner and a 2025 complaint alleging inappropriate adult conduct and misrepresentation. It said an earlier suspension in another diocese was known when Whitaker was called to St. Paul's. The 2019 response was a pastoral directive; the 2025 case ended in agreed deposition. The public notice does not specify sexual acts, and this entry preserves that limitation.

Response

Whitaker agreed to deposition. The newspaper reported receiving no response to its request for comment.

Publicly reported chronology

2019	Complaint led to a pastoral directive restricting aspects of ministry.
2025-02-10	Diocese received a new complaint.
2025-03-17	Deposed with his agreement.

Public-record limits

The diocese said no criminal conduct was alleged in the 2025 matter. Details remain limited; the record does not support assigning an assault category.

Sources

1. A letter to the diocese. Diocese of East Tennessee · 2025-04-14. Documents both complaints, prior discipline, the response and deposition.

2. Former St. Paul's rector deposed. Chattanooga Times Free Press · 2025-03-20. Reports the parish announcement and Whitaker's lack of response to a request for comment.

TEXAS / AW-099

Douglas Evan Anderson: allegations and 2024 accord

Subject: Douglas Evan Anderson · **Role:** Former St. James rector; later Advent rector

Setting: St. James Episcopal Church, Texarkana; Church of the Advent, Boston. **Diocese:** Dallas; Massachusetts

Category: Sexual harassment and exploitation allegations; disputed representations; admitted conduct unbecoming

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged conduct during Texarkana tenure; exact incident dates not verified

Source basis: Official accord notice and AW coverage of published filings and additional complaints

Connection to Title IV: Diocesan notice confirms a January 26, 2024 accord under Canons **IV.9** and **IV.14**.

Latest known outcome	Accord announced; the published restriction ran through June 10, 2024. Current licensing or later ministry status was not independently established.

Status date: 2024-01-26

What was reported

AW described allegations that Anderson had sexual contact with an adult executive of a nonprofit connected with his former Texarkana parish, and alleged that he exploited a position of authority over her, and misrepresented events in an earlier disciplinary proceeding. It also reported additional women's complaints and bullying or shunning of Advent members supporting women's ordination. These reported allegations are broader than the admission identified in the public accord notice: violation of the conduct-unbecoming canon.

Response

AW's account of respondent counsel's filing describes denials and disputes about authority and the alleged conduct. The later accord notice records an admission to Canon **IV.4.1**(h)(9), without findings on every reported allegation.

Publicly reported chronology

2022-05-13	Bishop imposed a restriction on ministry, as confirmed retrospectively in the accord notice.
2023-02-06	AW reported publication of hearing-stage filings.
2024-01-26	Official notice announced an accord and continued the restriction through June 10, 2024.

Public-record limits

The notice establishes a specific admission and restriction, not a criminal conviction or a merits finding on all harassment, dishonesty or shunning allegations. Related Halt and Sumner entries concern handling and retaliation.

Related records: AW-100, AW-042, AW-120 (broader index).

Sources

1. Official notice of Anderson accord. Episcopal Diocese of Massachusetts · 2024-01-26. Admission to Canon **IV.4.1**(h)(9), prior restriction and June 2024 end date.

2. Anderson allegations and respondent filing. *Anglican Watch* · 2023-02-06. Describes the allegations, counsel's denials and diocesan document publication.

3. Additional Anderson complaints and shunning allegations. *Anglican Watch* · 2023-02-11. Attributed additional harassment and Advent bullying/shunning reports.

4. Analysis of the Anderson accord. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-02-02. Publisher's distinction between the negotiated accord and adjudication of the original allegations.

TEXAS / AW-100

David Halt: reported retaliation against Richard Daly

Subject: David J. A. Halt · **Role:** Rector

Setting: St. James Episcopal Church, Texarkana. **Diocese:** Dallas

Category: Retaliation; complaint handling; disputed representations

Conduct / complaint period: Before the April 2023 public complaint report; later alleged disparagement

Source basis: AW reporting, reproduced complaint and related published bishop-case outcome

Connection to Title IV: AW reports a Title IV filing and reproduces a complaint image; it later reports dismissal.

Latest known outcome	Reported dismissal of the Halt complaint; no later merits decision concerning this retaliation allegation located.

Status date: 2024-10-05

What was reported

AW reported that Halt participated with Bishop George Sumner in forcing parochial vicar Richard Daly out after Daly forwarded a sexual harassment complaint involving former rector Douglas Anderson. The allegations include misleading explanations of Daly's departure and later disparagement. A complaint against Halt is procedurally distinct from the complaint against Anderson and from the bishop-level proceeding against Sumner. This entry concerns alleged retaliation and handling, not an accusation that Halt personally committed the underlying sexual harassment.

Response

No direct Halt response was located. AW reports that the complaint was dismissed. The related bishop reference panel later found no basis to proceed against Sumner on the claims it reviewed.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-04-01	AW reported the Halt filing and published a redacted complaint image.
2024-10-05	AW reported that the anonymous complaint against Halt had been dismissed.
2025-12-19	Separate Sumner reference-panel matter concluded with a pastoral response; it did not issue a disciplinary finding against Halt.

Public-record limits

The underlying harassment is indexed under Douglas Anderson. The bishop's favorable procedural outcome must not be silently converted into either a finding against Halt or a complete resolution of every complaint about him.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* separately filed a related complaint against Bishop George Sumner. Its reporting reflects that participation.

Related records: AW-099, AW-042, AW-110.

Sources

1. Halt complaint publicly reported. *Anglican Watch* · 2023-04-01. Reports retaliation complaint and includes redacted complaint image.

2. Report of Halt dismissal and continued retaliation allegations. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-10-05. States that a complaint against Halt was dismissed; describes the alleged removal of Daly.

3. Sumner reference-panel conclusion. Episcopal Church reference panel; copy hosted by *Anglican Watch* · 2025-12-19. Separate bishop-case disposition; see existing Sumner entry for the complete account.

TEXAS / AW-042

George Sumner: retaliation and complaint-handling allegations

Subject: George Sumner · **Role:** Bishop of Dallas at the relevant time

Setting: Episcopal Diocese of Dallas; St. James, Texarkana. **Diocese:** Dallas

Category: Alleged retaliation; handling of sexual-harassment complaints

Conduct / complaint period: Before the November 2025 intake notice; earlier complaints also reported

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: A published reference-panel determination documents the complaint against Sumner and its conclusion. Earlier allegations about national officials' delay are reported by *Anglican Watch*, not separate adjudicated findings.

Latest known outcome	Concluded with pastoral response; panel stated it did not believe a canonical violation occurred.

Status date: 2025-12-19

What was reported

Anglican Watch alleged that Sumner forced a priest into retirement for opposing sexual harassment and obstructed a related complaint. Its earlier reporting also accused national officials of delay after Ousley acknowledged receipt and follow-ups went to Kempf. On December 19, 2025, the reference panel concluded the Sumner matter with pastoral response, viewing the retirement and related actions as within his discretion and finding no information establishing improper interference. The panel said it did not believe a canonical violation occurred. *Anglican Watch* disputes that reasoning.

Response

The panel's determination favored Sumner; no separate personal response was located in the reviewed sources.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-10-05	*Anglican Watch* publicly described prior complaints and follow-up.
2025-11-04	Intake and referral notice identified in the final determination.
2025-12-19	Reference panel concluded the matter with pastoral response.

Public-record limits

Anglican Watch is both publisher and complainant. Alleged retaliation/oversight is not a claim that Sumner personally committed the underlying sexual harassment.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* and its editor Eric Bonetti were involved as complainant in the reported Sumner matter. The publication disputes the favorable determination; its coverage is an involved publisher's account.

Related records: AW-099, AW-100, AW-110.

Sources

1. Reference panel final determination in the Sumner matter. Disciplinary Board for Bishops; copy published by *Anglican Watch* · 2025-12-19. States the panel's reasons and concludes the complaint with pastoral response.

2. *Anglican Watch* account of the Sumner complaint and outcome. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-12-19. The complainant publication sets out its retaliation allegation and disputes the panel's handling.

3. Earlier Sumner complaint reporting. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-10-05. Documents *Anglican Watch*'s earlier complaint and follow-up account.

TEXAS / AW-043

Edward Monk: financial allegations and appellate remand

Subject: Edward R. Monk · **Role:** Rector; formerly Nashotah House board chair

Setting: St. John's Episcopal Church, Corsicana. **Diocese:** Diocese of Dallas

Category: Financial misconduct; alleged fraud and misuse of church funds

Conduct / complaint period: Conduct under investigation by July 2024; full period not established

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	New disciplinary hearing ordered on appeal; subsequent disciplinary outcome not established in sources reviewed.

Status date: 2026-03-24

What was reported

Monk faced six Title IV allegations concerning parish finances, including failure to safeguard church funds and dishonesty or fraud. He denied wrongdoing. A diocesan hearing panel recommended deposition in May 2025, but the Court of Review ordered a new hearing in March 2026 because of serious procedural failures. Separate criminal charges were also reported. The appellate ruling concerned the fairness of the church proceeding and did not determine criminal guilt or resolve the underlying financial allegations.

Response

Monk denied wrongdoing and challenged the diocesan hearing process on appeal.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-11-22	Public reporting identified six canonical allegations and administrative leave.
2025-05-28	Hearing panel issued adverse findings and recommended deposition.
2025-12-10	Court of Review heard appeal.
2026-03-24	Court of Review remanded for a new hearing.

Public-record limits

The remand must accompany any reference to the 2025 findings. Criminal and ecclesiastical proceedings are separate; an older scheduled criminal trial date is not a verified outcome.

Sources

1. Priest faces fraud allegations at Diocese of Dallas parish. Episcopal News Service · 2024-11-22. Reports allegations, parish context, and Monk's denial.

2. Dallas panel recommends priest's removal. Episcopal News Service · 2025-06-03. Reports the May 2025 findings and recommendation.

3. Court of Review finds Dallas erred and orders new hearing. Episcopal News Service · 2026-04-06. Reports March 2026 remand and distinguishes the criminal case.

4. Dallas Title IV and clergy resources. Diocese of Dallas · Undated. Links official active-case materials and appeal information.

TEXAS / AW-110

David Halt: complaint alleges attempted exclusion of gay couple

Subject: David Halt · **Role:** Rector

Setting: St. James Episcopal Church, Texarkana. **Diocese:** Dallas

Category: Alleged anti-gay exclusion and fabricated canonical justification

Conduct / complaint period: By September 2025; exact dates not reported

Source basis: Public allegation reported by *Anglican Watch*.

Connection to Title IV: *Anglican Watch* reports a Title IV complaint filed with the Diocese of Dallas; acceptance for further proceedings and disposition remain unconfirmed.

Latest known outcome	Reported complaint filing; no case-specific adjudication or closure identified.

Status date: 2025-09-06

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported that an unnamed parishioner filed a Title IV complaint alleging Halt tried to drive a gay couple from St. James using nonexistent canonical provisions. The article does not identify the purported provisions or reproduce a disposition.

Response

No response to this specific allegation was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2025-09-06 *Anglican Watch* reported the complaint's filing with the Dallas diocese.

Public-record limits

Filing is attributed to the publisher; acceptance for further proceedings is unconfirmed. Separate retaliation complaints must not supply this case's outcome.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* separately filed a related complaint against Bishop George Sumner.

Related records: AW-100, AW-042.

Sources

1. Title IV clergy disciplinary complaint filed against David Halt after allegedly forcing gay couple out of St. James, Texarkana. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-09-06. Complaint report; page also displays a November 12, 2026 update.

2. Saint James Church, Texarkana. St. James Episcopal Church · Undated. Parish identity, diocese and David Halt's name.

TEXAS / AW-098

Amy Moehnke: harassment and retaliation complaints

Subject: Amy Moehnke · **Role:** Priest locum tenens

Setting: Calvary Episcopal Church, Bastrop. **Diocese:** Texas

Category: Sexual harassment allegations; pastoral boundaries; retaliation

Conduct / complaint period: Alleged conduct beginning in 2024; later retaliation alleged in 2026

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* reports with linked complaint, correspondence and diocesan notices

Connection to Title IV: Public reporting identifies two clergy complaints and links pastoral-response notices. The entry also records criticism of diocesan handling, including the alleged absence of a professional pastoral-care assessment; no separate bishop-level case is established.

Latest known outcome	Pastoral-response referrals reported for the initial complaint and the later retaliation complaint; no adjudicated misconduct finding located.

Status date: 2026-09-05

What was reported

A Calvary parishioner alleged repeated unwanted and increasingly sexualized boundary violations by Moehnke toward her, with related concerns involving her family. A later complaint alleged retaliation through comments suggesting the complainant was mentally unwell or unsafe around children. AW's reporting describes the first harassment complaint and the later retaliation complaint as separate matters. It disputes the diocese's handling of both; the accusations should not be recast as adjudicated findings. In August 2026, AW also reported additional allegations of bullying and abusive behavior by unnamed Calvary vestry members. It did not supply individual names or detailed incidents for that broader lay allegation.

Response

No separate public response from Moehnke was located. AW reports that the diocese selected pastoral responses rather than further disciplinary proceedings.

Publicly reported chronology

2025-10-06	Initial Title IV complaint reportedly submitted.
2025-10-15	Reference panel reportedly referred that complaint for a pastoral response.
2026-04-06	AW reported an apparent no-contact pastoral direction, while questioning subsequent attendance at the family's new parish.
2026-09-05	AW reported a later retaliation complaint and another pastoral-response referral, including discussion with clergy about speaking of parishioners.

Public-record limits

The linked notices were inaccessible in this review; their outcomes are attributed to AW. A pastoral response is not itself an adjudication. The apparent no-contact direction's exact terms were not verified. The additional vestry allegation is attributed reporting and does not accuse every vestry member. No separate lay disciplinary finding is established.

Sources

1. Initial Moehnke complaint and pastoral-response report. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-10-16. Original allegations, reported filing and October 2025 referral; links complaint and notice.

2. Moehnke boundary-direction update. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-04-06. Reports an apparent no-contact direction and subsequent boundary concerns.

3. Later Moehnke retaliation complaint. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-09-05. Separate retaliation allegations and reported pastoral-response disposition.

4. Moehnke retaliation complaint and related Calvary bullying reports. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-08-11; current page reviewed 2026-11-15. Reports additional bullying allegations involving clergy and unnamed vestry members, alongside the separate clergy complaint.

UTAH / AW-085

Steven Plummer: minor-abuse disclosure, leave and return

Subject: Steven Tsosie Plummer · **Role:** Bishop of Navajoland; conduct reported before his consecration

Setting: Episcopal Church in Navajoland. **Diocese:** Navajoland Area Mission

Category: Reported sexual activity with a male minor in a relationship of trust

Conduct / complaint period: A two-year period in the 1980s; contemporary summaries differ on its precise endpoint

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Included for the Episcopal Council on Indian Ministries' objections to the episcopal handling and return to ministry. ENS's retrospective explicitly placed Plummer's handling outside the formal disciplinary process; no separate case against the handling bishops is established.

Historical handling dispute expressly reported as outside formal discipline; inclusion does not convert it into a Title IV tribunal case.

Latest known outcome	Plummer returned to episcopal ministry in June 1994 and remained bishop until his death in April 2005.

Status date: 2005-04-02

What was reported

A deacon reported that Plummer had confided sexual activity with a male minor over a two-year period. Plummer took a year's leave beginning in May 1993. The Episcopal Council on Indian Ministries asked him to resign and objected to continuing episcopal ministry in the circumstances. Presiding Bishop Edmond Browning later recommended his return after treatment and consultations in Navajoland, with the House of Bishops' support.

Response

ENS attributed the disclosure to Plummer's reported admission to a deacon. Browning defended the return after treatment and consultations; Indigenous church leaders objected. The cited notices do not publish a detailed response from Plummer to those objections.

Publicly reported chronology

1993-05	Began a year's leave after the disclosure.
1993-08	Council on Indian Ministries asked him to resign.
1994-04-14	Browning announced support for a return to ministry.
1994-06-01	Resumed ministry as bishop.
2005-04-02	Died while serving as bishop of Navajoland.

Public-record limits

The consulted records establish a disclosure and church response, not a published tribunal finding. They do not resolve the discrepancy in reported conduct dates.

Sources

1. Steven Plummer will resume ministry as bishop of Navajoland. Episcopal News Service · 1994-04-21. Reports the disclosure, leave, return decision and Indigenous church leaders' objections.

2. Navajoland Bishop Steven Plummer dies at 60. Episcopal News Service · 2005-04-02. Confirms his death and resumed episcopal service after the leave.

3. Disciplinary rules for bishops achieve equity for clergy. Episcopal News Service · 1997-08-06. Distinguishes this pastoral handling from formal discipline.

4. General Convention will respond to shock waves sent by cases of sexual misconduct. Episcopal News Service · 1994-06-15. Offers a conduct-period description that differs from the April account.

VIRGINIA / AW-086

Byron Bruce Newell: sexual misconduct complaints and ecclesiastical deposition

Subject: Byron Bruce Newell · **Role:** Assistant rector during the alleged conduct; later seminary employee

Setting: The Falls Church, then Episcopal; later Trinity Episcopal School for Ministry. **Diocese:** Diocese of Virginia

Category: Sexual abuse and exploitation allegations involving adult parishioners; church trial and deposition

Conduct / complaint period: 1987–1988

Source basis: Attributed archival church reporting, media reports and/or identified primary records

Connection to Title IV: Formal Episcopal presentment, ecclesiastical trial and deposition are expressly documented.

Latest known outcome	Deposition in October 1993 is the documented church disposition. The Witness reported in June 1994 that it would not affect his seminary staff position.

Status date: 1994-06

What was reported

Several women complained about Newell's conduct at The Falls Church. He was removed from parish duties and directed to receive counseling. A later, fuller account led to a formal presentment and ecclesiastical trial. Separately, a woman alleged sexual abuse during pastoral counseling and sued Newell and church defendants. That civil action was dismissed on limitations and church–state grounds; the dismissal did not supply a factual exoneration.

Response

Newell declined an appeal and maintained that he had already been punished sufficiently.

Publicly reported chronology

1988	Parish duties ended and counseling was required after complaints.
1992	A fuller report prompted a formal presentment.
1993-06-02	Circuit court dismissed the civil action on limitations and constitutional grounds.
1993-10	Deposed following the ecclesiastical trial.

Public-record limits

The church judgment and civil dismissal are separate outcomes. The sources used here do not establish the final disposition of any civil appeal or a criminal case.

Sources

1. The hot-button issue: Clergy sexual misconduct. The Witness · 1994-06. Describes complaints, delayed presentment, deposition and continued seminary employment.

2. Judge dismisses $4 million lawsuit in Virginia. Episcopal News Service · 1993-06-07. Explains the civil dismissal and confirms a separate church trial was proceeding.

3. VA. priest defrocked after trial by peers. The Washington Post · 1993-10-16. Respondent's position.

4. VA. Episcopal diocese investigating sexual allegations against priest. The Washington Post · 1993-03-20. Contemporaneous notice of the investigation.

VIRGINIA / AW-111

Laurence Packard: contested Title IV proceedings at Good Shepherd, Burke

Subject: Laurence K. (Larry) Packard · **Role:** Rector during the reported proceedings; later listed as retired

Setting: Church of the Good Shepherd, Burke. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Publicly disclosed disciplinary proceeding; allegations incompletely available

Conduct / complaint period: 2011–2012 proceedings; underlying conduct dates not established

Source basis: Competing public parish petitions; later parish bulletin and diocesan clergy listing

Connection to Title IV: The May 2012 petition identifies a hearing panel, Statement of Offenses and Title IV review provisions while contesting administrative leave supported by Bishop Shannon Johnston. A separate bishop-level case is not established.

Latest known outcome	Final canonical disposition unverified. Supporters reported reinstatement; the later diocesan clergy listing identifies him as retired.

Status date: 2024 clergy listing; disciplinary disposition undetermined

What was reported

A parishioners' petition describes administrative leave supported by Bishop Shannon Johnston's written reasons of November 14, 2011, and a revised Statement of Offenses dated March 5, 2012. Petitioners said employee-related allegations had been removed and sought reinstatement. A separate petition supported Packard's removal as rector. The full charging instrument was not retrieved; this entry does not reconstruct specific offenses from online commentary.

Response

Supporters contested the leave and invoked the presumption of noncommission. No direct Packard statement or final diocesan decision was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2011-11–2012-05	Petitioners publicly described leave, revised allegations and a hearing-panel review.
2012-05-21	Supporters' petition page carries an update claiming reinstatement; no official order was located.
2022–2024	St. John's, Arlington, listed Packard for pastoral care in 2022; Virginia's 2024 journal lists him as retired.

Public-record limits

The petitions establish public positions and reported procedure, not findings. Retirement from parish work is distinct from removal from ordained ministry. Neither a final disciplinary order nor a later renunciation or deposition was located.

Sources

1. New petition to reinstate the rector. Good Shepherd petitioners; iPetitions · 2012-05-03; update dated 2012-05-21. Supporters describe Title IV procedure, contest leave and report reinstatement.

2. Petition supporting Packard's removal as rector. Good Shepherd petitioners; iPetitions · 2011; exact posting date not established. A competing parish position supporting the bishop's action.

3. St. John's, Arlington, service bulletin. St. John's Episcopal Church, Arlington · 2022-05-29. Lists Packard as available for pastoral care during the parish's interim period.

4. Journal of Virginia's 230th Annual Convention. Episcopal Diocese of Virginia · 2024 convention; file dated 2025-10-22. Clergy list, printed page 18, identifies Laurence K. (Larry) Packard as retired.

VIRGINIA / AW-097

Stephen McWhorter: reported harassment complaints

Subject: Stephen McWhorter · **Role:** Priest

Setting: Episcopal parish employment; specific complainants and most incident details withheld. **Diocese:** Virginia; Alabama; reported Georgia complaint

Category: Reported sexual harassment; complaint handling

Conduct / complaint period: Not publicly specified

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* reporting and identified editorial follow-up comments

Connection to Title IV: Public reporting identifies clergy Title IV filings and criticizes episcopal handling. No official case notice, final disposition or separate bishop-level proceeding was reviewed.

Latest known outcome	No final disciplinary outcome located.

Status date: 2026-05-26

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported sexual harassment allegations from several people, including a female church worker and a man reporting unwanted advances in Pittsburgh. The publisher withheld most incident details. It reported Title IV complaints and alleged inadequate episcopal response. The published material establishes that allegations were reported; it does not establish a disciplinary finding.

Response

AW said McWhorter did not answer its request for comment; supporters disputed the article's characterization. AW separately alleged that Bishop Shannon Johnston declined to discuss the complaints with affected people on confidentiality grounds and failed to provide pastoral engagement. No separate Johnston response was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2022-01-10	AW published allegations and reported a disciplinary complaint.
2026-05-26	An AW editor's follow-up comment specifically referenced a Georgia Title IV filing.

Public-record limits

Filing, restrictions and final status lack independent official confirmation. Missing outcomes should not be described as continuing proceedings.

Disclosure. In a June 22, 2022 comment on the cited report, the *Anglican Watch* editor said he personally knew the adult female complainant. That relationship is material context for the coverage.

Sources

1. McWhorter harassment report and editorial updates. *Anglican Watch* · 2022-01-10; editorial follow-up 2026-05-26. Allegations, reported filings, response requests and supportive comments.

2. AW review of episcopal complaint handling. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-01-03. Repeats the publisher's Johnston and McWhorter handling allegations.

VIRGINIA / AW-112

Leslie Steffensen: alleged fabrication and written apology

Subject: Leslie Nuñez Steffensen · **Role:** Assistant rector at the time of the alleged conduct; parish history lists her service in 2012–2017

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Alleged misrepresentation and retaliation; reported Title IV resolution

Conduct / complaint period: 2015

Source basis: AW public reporting; editor-provided outcome information; official parish history confirming her tenure

Connection to Title IV: *Anglican Watch* publicly described a successful Title IV complaint concerning Steffensen's integrity. Its editorial account reports that a written apology was required and delivered; the canonical instrument and date were not located.

Latest known outcome	Required written apology delivered, according to *Anglican Watch*'s editorial account. The date and exact canonical mechanism were not supplied.

Status date: Outcome date not supplied; editorial update 2026-11-15

What was reported

Anglican Watch alleges that, in 2015, Steffensen supplied a fabricated account of suspicious activities in response to an employment background check on parishioner Eric Bonetti. The publication characterizes this as retaliation for his disciplinary complaint seeking mediation with rector Bob Malm. A 2026 retrospective describes a successful Title IV complaint concerning Steffensen's integrity.

Response

Anglican Watch reports that Steffensen provided the required written apology. Its text was not supplied for this edition; no separate public response from Steffensen was located.

Publicly reported chronology

2015	Alleged background-check statement, subsequently described by AW as retaliation.
2022-03-26	AW published the allegation and disclosed Bonetti's involvement.
2026-03-21	AW retrospectively described the Title IV complaint as successful, without publishing the disposition.
2026-11-15	Editorial information supplied for this index states that a written apology was required and delivered. This is the information date, not the apology date.

Public-record limits

No official disposition or apology text was reviewed. This entry uses the editorial clarification that an apology was delivered, correcting the older article's statement that none had been offered. The apology is not treated as an adjudicated finding or an admission beyond its unavailable wording.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* editor Eric Bonetti is the affected parishioner and original complainant. The written-apology information comes from the publication's editorial account supplied for this edition.

Related records: AW-044, AW-113 (broader index).

Sources

1. Steffensen background-check allegation. *Anglican Watch* · 2022-03-26; page updated 2026-11-12. Reports the allegation and editor involvement. Its no-apology statement is corrected by the editor's update for this edition.

2. AW retrospective on the Steffensen complaint. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-03-21; page updated 2026-11-12. Its Grace Church history describes a successful Title IV complaint about Steffensen's integrity; no disposition is reproduced.

3. Grace Notes: parish clergy history. Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria · 2024-09. Page 15 lists Steffensen's service at Grace in 2012–2017; it does not address misconduct.

4. Editorial update on the written apology [editorial account; not publicly linked]. *Anglican Watch* editorial information · 2026-11-15. Reports that Steffensen was required to apologize in writing and provided the apology.

VIRGINIA / AW-044

Bob Malm: Bonetti complaints of nonsexual abuse and dishonesty

Subject: Robert H. (Bob) Malm · **Role:** Rector at the time of the original complaints

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Virginia; Massachusetts involved in later complaints

Category: Bullying / spiritual abuse / alleged perjury / retaliation

Conduct / complaint period: Complaints beginning in 2015

Source basis: Complainant account; SNAP statement; editorial status update

Connection to Title IV: Reported clergy complaints and Bonetti's account of a Title IV case against Johnston. Allegations about Goff's response are separately attributed; no filing against her or bishop misconduct finding is established here.

Latest known outcome	The editorial update reports that the Malm matters involving Eric Bonetti have been resolved; details are not publicly available.

Status date: Status supplied 2026-11-15; resolution date undisclosed

What was reported

Eric Bonetti alleged bullying, retaliation, false police reports, misleading court submissions, perjury and other nonsexual abuse by Malm. SNAP publicly called for investigation and criticized the dioceses' handling of the complaints. Bonetti also alleges that Shannon Johnston knew of disputed use of his family's memorial donations but declined to intervene, and that Susan Goff required criminal charges before acting on alleged perjury. He reports a Title IV case against Johnston. These are participant allegations; a separate filing against Goff is not established.

Response

The public dispute included Malm's allegations that Bonetti's conduct was threatening. The index does not adopt either side's allegations as findings.

Public-record limits

The forum, scope and terms of the resolution are not publicly disclosed. No admission, disciplinary sanction or merits finding is inferred. Separate complaints by others are not treated as resolved by this update. The reported Malm resolution does not establish a disposition of the separate complaint Bonetti describes against Johnston.

Disclosure. Eric Bonetti is the editor of *Anglican Watch* and the complainant/litigant in the matters described here. The publication supplied the resolution update. This is a direct editorial conflict.

Related records: AW-050 (broader index), AW-045, AW-054 (broader index), AW-112, AW-113 (broader index).

Sources

1. SNAP statement on the Malm complaints. Survivors Network of those Abused by Priests · 2022-06-29. Sets out Bonetti's allegations and criticism of the church's response; it is an advocacy statement, not an adjudication.

2. Editorial status update [editorial account; not publicly linked]. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-11-15. Editorial update supplied for this edition; the resolution's terms and forum are not publicly disclosed.

3. Complainant's account. Grace Alexandria Watch · Undated. Describes the origin of the complaints and contested responses from the complainant's perspective.

VIRGINIA / AW-045

Bob Malm: Fanny Belanger's separate complaint

Subject: Bob Malm; reported diocesan and vestry handling · **Role:** Rector; diocese and vestry as reported complaint recipients

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Alleged bullying / spiritual abuse / failure to respond

Conduct / complaint period: Complaint date not publicly established in the cited sources

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* reporting and editor confirmation

Connection to Title IV: Included for publicly reported diocesan nonresponse to Belanger's complaint about clergy conduct. Formal Title IV processing and a separate bishop-level filing are unverified; no individual bishop is identified.

Included in the companion for reported diocesan complaint handling; a formal Title IV proceeding is not established.

Latest known outcome Complaint reportedly ignored; no disposition identified.

Status date: Editorial confirmation 2026-11-15

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported that former assistant rector Fanny Belanger complained to the Diocese of Virginia and Grace's vestry about Malm's conduct, and that both ignored her. Related reporting describes alleged emotionally abusive treatment of associate clergy.

Response

A substantive diocesan or vestry response to this specific complaint was not located.

Public-record limits

The complaint's full text, filing date and processing under Title IV have not been established. This is separate from the resolved Bonetti matter.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* editor Eric Bonetti is a former member of Grace. Belanger, not Bonetti, is the reported complainant in this entry.

Related records: AW-050 (broader index), AW-044, AW-054 (broader index).

Sources

1. Published account of Belanger's complaint. *Anglican Watch* · Reposted 2024-02-24 from October 2023. Reports that the diocese and vestry ignored Belanger's complaint.

2. Complainant-community account. Grace Alexandria Watch · Undated. Reports Belanger's departure and alleged emotional abuse.

3. Editorial confirmation [editorial account; not publicly linked]. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-11-15. Editor confirms awareness of the separate Belanger complaint and reported diocesan nonresponse.

VIRGINIA / AW-046

B. Cayce Ramey: Eucharistic fast and reversed disciplinary order

Subject: B. Cayce Ramey · **Role:** Priest and former rector

Setting: All Saints Episcopal Church–Sharon Chapel, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Diocese of Virginia

Category: Ordination vows; worship and canonical compliance

Conduct / complaint period: 2022–2024

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Hearing-panel order reversed on appeal.

Status date: 2025-07-02

What was reported

The Diocese of Virginia pursued a Title IV case after Ramey stopped presiding at the Eucharist as part of an antiracism protest. Its hearing panel found violations of ordination vows and church canons and recommended deposition in May 2024. Ramey appealed. On July 2, 2025, the Court of Review reversed the panel's order. This proceeding must be distinguished from the later case concerning cooperation with investigators and compliance with administrative leave.

Response

Ramey contested the findings and appealed successfully. The diocese publicly disagreed with the appellate court's interpretation.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-05-08	Hearing panel issued adverse findings and recommended deposition.
2024-05-25	Ramey notified the bishop and church attorney of his appeal.
2025-07-02	Court of Review reversed the hearing-panel order.

Public-record limits

This is the Eucharistic-fast proceeding, not the separate 2024–2026 noncooperation case. Inclusion records a contested canonical proceeding and does not classify the underlying protest as abuse.

Related records: AW-049.

Sources

1. Clergy conduct and ministry for racial justice. Diocese of Virginia · 2024-05-28. Explains the original allegations, hearing-panel decision, and appeal.

2. Notice of Court of Review decision. Diocese of Virginia · 2025-07-02. Confirms reversal of the hearing-panel order.

3. Response to Court of Review opinion. Diocese of Virginia · 2025-07-04. States the diocese's disagreement with the appellate interpretation.

VIRGINIA / AW-047

Robert K. Marshall: disputed misconduct finding and civil litigation

Subject: Robert K. Marshall · **Role:** Former rector

Setting: Episcopal Church of the Redeemer, Midlothian. **Diocese:** Diocese of Southern Virginia

Category: Alleged harassment and boundary violations; church finding disputed

Conduct / complaint period: 2021–2022

Source basis: Public official records and/or attributed media reporting

Connection to Title IV: The published civil opinion documents Marshall's Title IV discipline and his challenges to the church's handling and the bishop's description. A separate Title IV case against the bishop is not established; the civil religious-autonomy ruling did not decide the underlying sexual allegation.

Latest known outcome	Deposition documented; latest published civil ruling located is the July 16, 2024 appellate opinion.

Status date: 2024-07-16

What was reported

A church employee complained about comments and interactions she regarded as inappropriate advances. Marshall disputed the alleged sexual meaning and challenged the church's handling. A published Virginia appellate opinion records a Title IV conference-panel finding of sexual misconduct and conduct unbecoming clergy, followed by deposition. The subsequent civil litigation concerned, among other claims, the bishop's description of the matter. The appellate court's ruling addressed religious autonomy rather than deciding whether the alleged misconduct occurred.

Response

Marshall denied sexual intent, disputed parts of the account and challenged the disciplinary process in civil litigation.

Publicly reported chronology

2022-03-07	Title IV complaint initiated.
2022-10-13	Conference panel issued findings and recommended deposition.
2022-11-02	Bishop imposed deposition.
2024-07-16	Court of Appeals held that religious-liberty protections barred the defamation claim before it.

Public-record limits

The court did not adjudicate the truth of the underlying allegation. This entry does not certify the status of every claim or any later appeal.

Sources

1. Episcopal Diocese of Southern Virginia v. Marshall. Court of Appeals of Virginia · 2024-07-16. Published opinion documents the church proceedings, contested allegations and limited civil holding.

VIRGINIA / AW-048

Anne Turner: reported adultery, suspension and return

Subject: Anne Turner · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Adult sexual conduct / alleged dishonesty

Conduct / complaint period: Earlier-career relationship; suspension before October 2024

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* reports; parish annual report

Connection to Title IV: Published report of diocesan suspension; documented return

Latest known outcome	Returned to Grace on October 28, 2024; later parish reporting confirms the return.

Status date: 2025-01 annual report

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported that Turner was suspended for one year over an adulterous affair and disputed aspects of her description of the relationship. The reported conduct is classified separately from sexual assault or abuse of a minor.

Response

Reporting records Turner's position that the affair had ended. Her parish report described work toward healing after her return.

Public-record limits

The complete disciplinary instrument was not retrieved. This entry does not treat later criticism of her explanation as a separate proven offense.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* editor Eric Bonetti is a former member of Grace.

Sources

1. Reporting on the suspension and return. *Anglican Watch* · 2024-10-12. Reports the suspension, invitation to return, and disputed explanation.

2. Parish annual report. Grace Episcopal Church · 2025-01. Contains Turner's account of returning to the parish.

3. Later account of return date. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-10. Identifies the October 28, 2024 return and links underlying parish/diocesan records.

VIRGINIA / AW-049

B. Cayce Ramey: noncooperation and administrative-leave proceeding

Subject: B. Cayce Ramey · **Role:** Priest

Setting: Diocesan disciplinary proceeding. **Diocese:** Diocese of Virginia; Diocese of Delaware also named in order

Category: Cooperation with discipline; administrative leave; pastoral directions

Conduct / complaint period: 2024–2026

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Hearing-panel findings and deposition recommendation; subsequent sentence notice publicly posted.

Status date: 2026-07-29

What was reported

A separate Virginia proceeding accused Ramey of refusing to cooperate with a Title IV investigation and failing to comply with administrative leave and pastoral directions. Following a June 24, 2026 hearing held without him, the panel found canonical violations and recommended deposition on July 8. The official docket subsequently published a July 29 notice of sentence. These findings arose from a different proceeding than the Eucharistic-fast order reversed in 2025.

Response

The public docket includes Ramey's December 2024 response and January 2025 request to stay proceedings. The July 2026 order says he ceased participating after July 18, 2025.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-10-29	Statement of alleged offenses filed.
2026-01-16	Updated statement of alleged offenses filed.
2026-06-24	Hearing conducted in respondent's absence.
2026-07-08	Panel found violations and recommended deposition.
2026-07-29	Diocese published notice of sentence and imposition of clergy discipline.

Public-record limits

The operative terms of the image-only July 29 sentence notice were not independently verified; the deposition recommendation is not presented as the final sentence. This is separate from the reversed Eucharistic-fast proceeding.

Related records: AW-046.

Sources

1. Title IV hearing-panel proceedings: Ramey. Diocese of Virginia · 2026-07-29. Dated docket with statements, responses, orders, and sentence notice.

2. Hearing-panel order. Diocese of Virginia Hearing Panel · 2026-07-08. Findings concerning cooperation, leave, and pastoral directions; recommends deposition.

3. Notice of sentence and imposition of clergy discipline. Diocese of Virginia · 2026-07-29. Official sentence notice; linked without inferring unverified operative terms.

VIRGINIA / AW-051

Santiago Rodriguez: reported nonsexual boundary violation

Subject: Santiago Rodriguez · **Role:** Associate rector at the time

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Alexandria. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Nonsexual pastoral boundaries

Conduct / complaint period: Several months before December 2025

Source basis: *Anglican Watch* account of a parish disclosure

Connection to Title IV: Published report of parish announcement

Latest known outcome	Three-month suspension reported December 17, 2025; later ministry status not established.

Status date: 2025-12-17

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported a three-month Title IV suspension after allegations of an inappropriate but nonsexual relationship with a parishioner.

Response

No separate substantive response from Rodriguez was located.

Public-record limits

The report does not allege sexual conduct or misconduct involving a minor. An old suspension notice is not evidence that restrictions continue today.

Disclosure. Eric Bonetti is *Anglican Watch*'s editor and a former Grace member. The cited article states he did not participate in its preparation.

Sources

1. Report of the parish disclosure. *Anglican Watch* · 2025-12-17. Reports the suspension and identifies the relationship as nonsexual.

VIRGINIA / AW-052

Jonathan Adams: grooming and boundary allegations

Subject: Jonathan Adams · **Role:** Rector at the time

Setting: Trinity Episcopal Church, Upperville. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Sexual misconduct concerns / alleged grooming

Conduct / complaint period: Reported to the diocese in September 2025

Source basis: Official church account; published police-report extracts; respondent's statement

Connection to Title IV: Official notice of accord

Latest known outcome	Title IV concluded by accord in November 2025; return to work authorized. Resignation was announced effective October 12, 2026.

Status date: July 2026 announcement; October 12, 2026 stated effective date

What was reported

Anglican Watch reported allegations of grooming involving boys and published police-report extracts describing conduct that concerned the investigating detective. The diocese said its inquiry found conduct inappropriate for clergy. Police found no substantiated criminal offense, according to the published account. *Anglican Watch* also alleged that Adams disclosed complainants' identities during the disciplinary process and that parish members subsequently shunned and retaliated against them.

Response

In a July 12, 2026 parish address, Adams said he had done nothing inappropriate or harmful to young people. That characterization differs from the diocese's description of its findings.

Public-record limits

The full accord and its specific directions are not public in the cited record. The police outcome is not a church finding that all reported conduct was appropriate. The alleged confidentiality breaches and resulting shunning are attributed reporting, not additional findings established by the published notice of accord.

Related records: AW-053 (broader index), AW-128.

Companion criminal catalog VA-22. Criminal and church outcomes are separate.

Sources

1. Diocesan account and notice of accord. Diocese of Virginia · 2026-07-17. Describes the investigations, accord, return to work and announced resignation.

2. Published police-report extracts. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-07. Reports the detective's grooming concern and the absence of substantiated criminal offenses.

3. Adams's parish address. Trinity Episcopal Church, reproduced by Anglican Ink · 2026-07-12. Records Adams's own explanation of the investigations.

4. *Anglican Watch* inquiry concerning Adams, confidentiality and complainant treatment. *Anglican Watch* · 2026. Alleges disclosure of complainant identities followed by shunning and retaliation; the canonical conclusions are the publication's assertions.

VIRGINIA / AW-128

Mark Stevenson: Adams complainants' pastoral-response allegations

Subject: E. Mark Stevenson · **Role:** Bishop diocesan

Setting: Diocese of Virginia; Trinity Episcopal Church, Upperville. **Diocese:** Virginia

Category: Alleged failure to provide a pastoral response and care

Conduct / complaint period: Adams complaint received in September 2025; handling criticized in 2026

Source basis: Complainants' accounts published by *Anglican Watch*; diocesan account

Connection to Title IV: Included as a public allegation about a bishop's handling of an established Title IV matter. A separate formal Title IV complaint against Stevenson was not established.

Latest known outcome	Public process-handling allegation; no separate disciplinary finding against Stevenson or case-specific response to this account located.

Status date: 2026-11-05

What was reported

Complainants in the Jonathan Adams matter told *Anglican Watch* that the diocese offered them neither a pastoral response nor pastoral care. AW directed that criticism at Stevenson, questioning whether their needs had been assessed and addressed. The diocese's public account describes support for parish leadership and gives a contact for people seeking pastoral support. Those statements do not establish what was offered to the complainants individually; their account also does not establish whether an assessment occurred privately.

Response

The diocese described Stevenson's communications with wardens and pastoral support for the associate rector, and published a support contact.

Publicly reported chronology

2025-11	Underlying Adams proceeding concluded by accord, according to the diocese.
2026-11-05	AW published the complainants' account of absent pastoral response and care.

Public-record limits

The underlying accord does not resolve the later handling allegation. No personal sexual misconduct by Stevenson is alleged here.

Disclosure. *Anglican Watch* reports direct contact with the complainants and expressly supports their account.

Related records: AW-052, AW-053 (broader index).

Sources

1. Adams complainants say the diocese offered no pastoral response or care. *Anglican Watch* · 2026-11-05; updated 2026-11-10. Reports complainants' account and distinguishes it from questions about a private assessment.

2. In the Matter of the Rev. Jonathan Adams and Trinity Church Upperville. Diocese of Virginia · 2026-07-17. Official account of the accord, parish support and available pastoral contact.

WISCONSIN / AW-055

Martha Ann Englert: abusive-remarks finding; disclosure count rejected

Subject: Martha Ann Englert · **Role:** Rector

Setting: Grace Episcopal Church, Madison. **Diocese:** Diocese of Milwaukee

Category: Verbal abuse and bullying; alleged misuse of pastoral information

Conduct / complaint period: 2005

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Historical trial judgment: remarks finding and suspension; disclosure count rejected. Later review and current standing not established.

Status date: 2007-09

What was reported

Englert faced a historical Title IV presentment alleging inappropriate remarks and disclosures about parishioners and staff. The trial court found a pattern of abusive, hurtful remarks but rejected the separate improper-disclosure count. Its 2007 judgment imposed a ten-year suspension, potentially reducible to five years under stated conditions. A dissenting judge rejected the misconduct finding. This entry preserves the mixed findings and does not describe the historical suspension as a current restriction.

Response

Englert contested the charges and argued that her speech did not constitute a canonical offense. The trial court's dissent found the misconduct allegations unproved.

Publicly reported chronology

2006-04-29	Presentment and inhibition issued.
2007-03-24	Court found the inappropriate-remarks count proved.
2007-09	Final judgment set out suspension and rejected the improper-disclosure count.

Public-record limits

Pre-July 2011 Title IV framework. The reviewed record does not establish later appellate disposition or current clerical standing. Allegations about disclosures are not listed as sustained findings.

Sources

1. Standing Committee presentment. Diocese of Milwaukee; Archives of the Episcopal Church · 2006-04-29. Primary allegations regarding remarks and disclosures.

2. Judgment on count two. Diocese of Milwaukee Ecclesiastical Trial Court · 2007-03-24. Records finding on the inappropriate-remarks count.

3. Final judgment and sentence, with concurrence and dissent. Diocese of Milwaukee Ecclesiastical Trial Court · 2007-09. Records suspension, rejected disclosure count, respondent's position, and dissent.

WYOMING / AW-056

Paul-Gordon Chandler: deposition following a misconduct allegation

Subject: Paul-Gordon Chandler · **Role:** Bishop of Wyoming at the time

Setting: Episcopal Church in Wyoming. **Diocese:** Wyoming

Category: Misconduct not publicly specified

Conduct / complaint period: Not specified in the reviewed public accounts

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Official record and/or public reporting of the proceeding; see Sources.

Latest known outcome	Resolved by accord; voluntarily accepted sentence of deposition.

Status date: 2024-03-27

What was reported

Chandler was restricted and then placed on leave after an allegation publicly described only as an indiscretion involving a diocesan team member. An approved March 2024 accord ended the Title IV proceeding through his voluntary acceptance of deposition. Chandler expressly said his departure was not an admission of the specific allegations. The available public statements do not supply enough detail to classify the conduct as sexual misconduct or to describe what allegedly occurred.

Response

Expressly denied that accepting deposition admitted the allegations.

Publicly reported chronology

2023-10	Restriction and administrative leave publicly reported.
2024-03-27	Approved accord and deposition announced.

Public-record limits

Allegations remain nonspecific in the reviewed public disclosures; no sexual classification is inferred.

Sources

1. Title IV update on bishop of Wyoming. The Episcopal Church · 2024-03-27. Official announcement of an approved accord and sentence of deposition.

2. Chandler deposed following Title IV investigation. Episcopal News Service · 2024-03-27. Reports the limited public description of the allegation and Chandler's express denial of admitting it.

CHURCHWIDE / AW-057

Michael Curry and Todd Ousley: response to Singh family complaints

Subject: Michael Curry and Todd Ousley · **Role:** Presiding bishop and bishop responsible for pastoral development at the relevant time

Setting: Office of the Presiding Bishop; Office of Pastoral Development. **Diocese:** Churchwide leadership

Category: Failure to respond; oversight of abuse complaints

Conduct / complaint period: Complaints communicated beginning in December 2022

Source basis: Public allegation or disciplinary record, with attributed responses and outcomes

Connection to Title IV: Public church announcements identify related Title IV matters concerning Curry's and Ousley's response to the Singh family. They concluded with pastoral response; Curry's individual apology agreement and the later confidential process agreement are distinct.

Latest known outcome	Title IV matters concluded with pastoral response; later process-related agreement announced.

Status date: 2025-07-25

What was reported

Singh's family alleged that Curry and Ousley failed to respond promptly and properly to reports of domestic abuse. On December 30, 2024, the presiding bishop announced that the related Title IV matters had concluded with pastoral response. Curry agreed to write an apology. The announcement did not set out an equivalent individual requirement for Ousley. A July 2025 joint statement later announced a confidential resolution of the family's process concerns.

Response

Curry agreed to an apology; the reviewed closing announcement gives no comparable individual response from Ousley.

Publicly reported chronology

2024-01-12	Family's allegations and call for an independent investigation publicly reported.
2024-12-30	Related Title IV matters concluded with pastoral response.
2025-07-25	Broader process concerns resolved through a confidential agreement.

Public-record limits

A grouped record for related matters involving two respondents; not an allegation of personal sexual misconduct by either bishop.

Related records: AW-026, AW-028.

Sources

1. Family calls for investigation of complaint handling. Episcopal News Service · 2024-01-12. Reports the family's allegations about Curry and Ousley and the chronology of disclosure.

2. Resolution of the Curry and Ousley matters. The Episcopal Church · 2024-12-30. Confirms conclusion with pastoral response and Curry's agreement to apologize.

3. Presiding bishop and Singh family announce resolution of concerns. The Episcopal Church · 2025-07-25. Announces a separate confidential agreement about handling of the family's complaints.